

DSC Delivery Sub Group

Monday 23rd November at 10:30am Microsoft Teams Meeting

Meeting Minutes

Industry Attendees			
NAME	ORGANISATION	INITIALS	
Kirsty Dudley	EON	KD	
Ikram Bashir	N Power	IB	
Richard Dakin	EON	RD	
Elly Laurence	EDF Energy	EL	
Jack Wilde	Northern Gas	JW	
Sally Hardman	SGN	SHar	
Owen Mason	Bulb	OM	
India Koller	SGN	IK	
Endre Merai	Octopus Energy	EM	
Danny Byrne	Utilita	DB	
Andy Clasper	Cadent Gas	AC	
Patricia Parker	UtiliGroup	PP	
Helen Bevan	Scottish Power	HB	
Najaah Jamalkhan	Corona Energy	NJ	
Steph Podgorski	Generis	SP	

Xoserve Attendees		
Paul Orsler (Chair)	PO	
James Barlow	JB	
Megan Troth	MT	
Charan Singh	CS	
Rachel Taggart	RT	
Michelle Niits	MN	
Ellie Rogers	ER	
Simon Harris	SH	
Kate Lancaster	KL	
Florentina Avram	FA	
Jaimee LeResche	JLR	
Hannah Reddy	HR	
Phanitha Chalasani	PC	
Tom Lineham	TL	
Ed Healy	EH	
Simon Burton	SB	
Bali Bahia	BB	
Joanne Williams	JW	

Slides available here.

1. General Meeting Administration

1a. Welcome and Introductions

1b. Previous DSG Meeting Minutes and Action Updates

Paul Orsler (PO) introduced the meeting and the minutes from the previous meeting were accepted and approved by DSG.

2. Changes in Capture

2a. New Change Proposals – Initial Overview of the Change – None for this meeting

2a.i. – None for this meeting

2b. – None for this meeting

2c. Undergoing Solution Options Impact Assessment Review – None for this meeting

2d. Solution Options Impact Assessment Review Completed

2d.i. – XRN5237 – Maintenance of a User relationship table for the purpose of AQ amendments

Ellie Rogers (ER) presented the slides in relation to XRN5237. ER explained that the impact assessment has been done and is currently out in a Solution Option Change Pack. This IA is only looking specifically at Mod736 solution as Mod0736A which is the alternate is expected to be decoupled. *Post meeting update – Modification 0736 and 0736A have been decoupled and Modification 0736A has been renumbered to 0746.*

ER continued to go through the slides in relation to this change. It was highlighted that we are looking to implement a predominantly manual solution for this change to get this implemented as quickly as possible following Mod0736 approval. Based on this, the CDSP are not looking to hold the relationship table within the system, it is anticipated to be held offline. CDSP will be required to identify invalid AQ corrections as per Mod0736 and notify the relevant Shipper where they identified. Shippers will be requested to cancel any identified AQ corrections and if the AQ correction isn't cancelled by the relevant Shipper, this will be done by the CDSP on the Shippers behalf.

KD asked if there will be any reporting for the manual solution. ER explained that currently there is no formal reporting in scope of the change or Mod, with nothing currently expected to be going out to PAC. It was noted that where the CDSP have to cancel the AQ correction on the Shippers behalf, this may be a chargeable service. This will be mentioned be covered in the detailed design Change Pack. In relation to reporting, KD asked if this is something that will need to be considered, or if that this will happen on such a rare occasion that the reporting is not needed. ER explained that we are hoping that this will not be required often as it expected to be an exceptions process. It was also noted, that we are expected that the implementation of this change will be immediate and therefore required to put in place the absolute requirements to manage the process and potentially look at the reporting on this at a later date potentially through PAC.

KD explained it would be beneficial to understand where this activity will be left to the CDSP to carry out, and if this is charged it would be easy to count the occurrences on this, however if not it may be more difficult to capture the stats behind this. ER explained that this is essentially being implemented as a quick fix to get what we need, and reporting can definitely be considered at a later date, along with a larger review of the AQ reason code process as a whole, potentially leading to a more enduring and automated process. ER explained that there is most likely a way the team can cover reporting manually on the number of occurrences and this doesn't feel problematic if a view of volumes was requested. KD agreed that this would be sufficient.

ER explained the MOD will go to the December Panel meeting for approval, if approved it will go for a 16-day appeal window, and this then sits with Cadent to confirm an implementation date. This is likely to be as soon as the 16-day appeal window has closed (mid-January 2021).

EL explained that she did not fully understand the purpose of this change, as their view is that this should be covered through Performance Assurance reporting and this is not the responsibility of the CDSP to cover, and this should not be avoided through a preventative measure but through performance assurance.

ER explained this change is making sure that the process aligns with that set out within Modification 0736 and what will be stated within Code. EL explained that she understood the reasoning behind the change but putting in a preventative measure to cover performance that is deemed outside of the UNC measures feels uncomfortable. KD asked that with the kind of challenges being raised does this cause the implementation to slow down. PO explained that if the MOD is approved and an implementation is agreed and set, this is something we would have to deliver in line with the DSC contract. EL explained she agrees with the change, however not necessarily the point that poor performance would potentially be covered by the CDSP. ER explained this should be an exceptional process that we wouldn't expect to happen on a regular occurrence, however EL stated that they are unsure the cost vs. benefit would be beneficial for the Industry if this is such an exceptional occurrence.

It was highlighted that the 'preventive measures' had been proposed due to the potential impact across multiple industry parties if invalid AQ corrections where submitted and went live in the system. KD explained that they support the intent of

the change, however we need to ensure we monitor where these occurrences are happening.

DSG members noted that the Solution Change Pack had been issued and should they wish to make any comments about this, they should raise it within a representation response. It was confirmed the Solution CP would be voted on by ChMC in December.

2d.ii. – XRN5200 - Shipper Pack Transition to Data Discovery Platform

RT went through the slides in relation to XRN5200. RT explained we have not received any comments in relation to amendments to the User stories, therefore we will be continuing with next steps (as explained on the slides). No comments from DSG on this change. RT explained that as the rest of the Shipper Pack Topics will be delivered via DDP backlog, the CP will be to deliver the decommissioning of the legacy reports. This will continue in Capture with the solutions going out in a Change Pack and brought to DSG. JW asked what Change Pack this would be visible on, RT explained this could potentially go out in December's Change Pack. KD advised that the Shipper Pack reports are a low priority in the DDP backlog so there is no rush for this to go out.

2d.iii. – XRN5195 – Ceased Responsibility Date Following Shipper Withdrawal (IDL)

This item was pulled from the meeting and will be discussed at IGT constituency.

2d.iv. - Emergency Contact Details

JLR went through this High Level Solution Option pack for this change. This change has been issued in a Solution Option Change Pack in November. No responses have been received on this Change Pack as of yet. EL explained they will be supportive of this change. PO explained that it is likely this change will be progressed within the CSS release.

3. Changes in Detailed Design

3a. Design Considerations

3a.i. – XRN5188 – Interim Data Loads of MAP Id into UK Link

Simon Harris (SH) went through the slides in relation to XRN5188 which covered the background of this change and the working assumptions currently being progressed with through capture. SH explained that the scope of this is to utilise the solution created under XRN4780B and that the same rules apply for any interim loads. SH asked if DSG had any objections with any of these? The final requirements for this change (to move forward into HLSO) have been completed however we are waiting to see the outcome of the mass population being carried out under XRN4780B (December-20) to see if any changes are needed to be incorporated with scope of this change. Once this has been completed, we'll look to bring this change back to DSG for completeness to ratify full scope. EL asked that the population of MAP Id was meant to be delivered under XRN4780B, this was delayed, and if 5188 was

raised instead of this. PO explained that XRN5188 was raised to plug the gap between XRN4780B and 4780C being implemented to assist in maintaining the MAP Id data item to a high standard.

3a.ii. – XRN5122 – Gemini System Enhancements 3a.i. – XRN5188 – Interim Data Loads of MAP Id into UK Link

HR explained that a Change Pack has been issued in relation to this change. On 15th October we had an Industry briefing confirming that this Change Pack will be issued. HR encouraged DSG members to review this Change Pack and provide reps. PO asked if the feedback on what we are trying to achieve has been positive. HR explained this is the case, and that it was better to introduce new APIs for this purpose to ensure minimal impact to existing users, and this is what Shippers have asked for. No specific comments from DSG on this.

3a.iii. – XRN5093 – Update of AUG Table to reflect new EUC bands (Modification 0711)

PO explained went through the slides, and asked DSG to review the Detailed Design Change Pack that has been issued on this and to confirm that our understanding of customer impact is correct to ensure we do not miss any impacts that we may not currently be aware of. Phanitha explained the detail of this change which can be found <u>here</u>. No specific comments on this change.

3b. Requirements Clarification – None for this meeting

4. Major Release Update

4a. June 2020

SB gave an overview of the slides in relation to the June 2020 Release. Overall RAG status is currently at green. SB asked if there was any issue from DSGs side if there was a month delay in reporting (and confirmed there was no impact to cost). No specific views or issues from DSG on this.

4b. November 2020

EH gave an overview of the slides in relation to November 2020. EH confirmed we have now implemented successfully, and we have now entered PIS. There is a minor issue with data in relation to XRN4897 and XRN4899 but this is now being investigated and should be resolved shortly. No concerns from DSG.

4c. XRN4914 – MOD 0651- Retrospective Data Update Provision

PO gave an overview of the Retro slides. No concerns from DSG.

4d. Minor Release Drop 8 Update

BB gave an overview on MiR Drop 8 and confirmed this has now been implemented with no issues thus far. No concerns from DSG.

4e. June 2021

TL gave an overview on the slides in relation to the June 2021 Release. No concerns raised from DSG.

5. UK Link Future Release Update Overview

PO gave an update on the UKL Release Update and went through the slides provided. No concerns raised from DSG.

6. Issue Management

6a. AQ Task Force Update

PO gave a brief overview of the slides provided by the AQ taskforce team. No concerns or questions raised from DSG.

<u>7. AOB</u>

7a. – CMS Rebuild

JW gave a verbal update on the CMS Rebuild. Currently in the Capture stage, currently trying to understand the users pain points and what customers want this to look like. Individual workshops with constituency's are being held to capture individual feedback and to understand the ADD and UAD process in more detail. First session has been held and the second has been scheduled in. JW explained to DSG that members are encouraged to provide this feedback. SHar asked whether this has been shared on the website yet. JW explained this is planned in and we are currently working with the communications team to get this message published on the website. LW explained we are looking to analyse how successful the workshops are with individual constituents and whether it may be beneficial to have one big workshop with all constituents involved, and we want to ensure we have all possible feedback to get the improvements implemented in a timely manner. LW asked DSG that if there any members that want to take part in the workshops please get in touch if they have not already received an invitation. IB, SHar and EM confirmed they would like to receive the invite.

7b. – Extended Outage

RT explained that a UK Link communication has been sent out about an outage for UK Link upgrades and is being conducted within the maintenance windows, however there are some extended outages needed as follows.

- Saturday 2nd January Wednesday 6th January between 4am 7am
- Friday 8th January and Saturday 9th January between 4am 7am
- Tuesday 12th January Saturday 16th January between 4am 7am
- Sunday 17th January between 6am 1pm

RT explained that there is a contingency outage in case this is needed. PO asked for this to be appended to the deck and published to give customers a view and ask questions if needed. I think we can do this when the minutes go out

This was the end of 23rd November 2020 DSC Delivery Sub Group meeting. Next Meeting: (Monday 14th December 2020)

If you have any questions relating to the above meeting minutes, please email **uklink@xoserve.com**