

DSC Change Proposal

Xoserve Reference Number: XRN4670

Customers to fill out all of the information in this colour

Xoserve to fill out all of the information in this colour

Change Title	Reject a replacement read, where the read provided is		
	identical to that already held in UK Link for the same read date		
Date Raised	2 nd July 2018		
Sponsor Organisation	Xoserve		
Sponsor Name	Emma Smith		
Sponsor Contact Details	Emma.Smith@xoserve.com		
Xoserve Contact Name	Emma Smith		
Xoserve Contact Details	Emma.Smith@xoserve.com		
Change Status	Proposal / With DSG / Out for Consultation / Voting /		
	Approved or Rejected		
Section A1: Impacted Parties			
Customer Class(es) ⊠ Shipper			
	☐ National Grid Transmission		
	☐ Distribution Network Operator		
	□ IGT		
Section A2: Proposer Requirer	Section A2: Proposer Requirements / Final (redlined) Change		

W

XRN4670 CR new template v0.1.docx

Originally raised as an Xoserve internal change request, however following assessment it is believed there will be an external impact to solution the issue. Please see attached.

Issue:

Shippers are currently submitting replacement readings, with a reading which is identical to the current read recorded on UK Link, for the same read date. Current validation does not consider this scenario. The acceptance of these readings is impacting system performance, the generation of Exceptions and the requirement for manual intervention (i.e. exception workaround by Business and IS Ops). Analysis of the MN09 Exceptions received since 1st June is attached - the Exception is generated where the volume to be replaced is zero, however a number of other exceptions can also be generated. Change includes classes 3 and 4, as system users are unable to replace an actual class 1 or 2 accepted reading. A new rejection code may also be required to support this process.

The solution to be implemented at the earliest possible date to remove the ongoing recording of unnecessary updates and remove manual workaround effort for exceptions.

Impact on system performance and data storage, including archiving of unnecessary data. Creation of unnecessary Exceptions, not limited to MN09



Proposed Release	June 2019		
Proposed Consultation	10WD		
Period			
Section A3: Benefits and Justification			
Benefit Description		Creates exceptions which can take up to 10	
What, if any, are the tangible benefits of introd		minutes to resolve, would remove exception	
What, if any, are the intangible benefits of intro	oducing this	process	
change? Benefit Realisation		Immediately following implementation	
When are the benefits of the change likely to I	pe realised?		
Benefit Dependencies		none	
Please detail any dependencies that would be			
of the change, this could be reliance on anoth on some other event that the projects has not			
of.			
Section A4: Delivery Sub-Group	o (DSG) Recon	nmendations	
Please refer to section D.			
DSG Recommendation	Reject "duplic	ate" replacement reads and provide an existing	
	rejection code	/reason (Option2)	
DSG Recommended Release	June 2019		
Section A5: DSC Consultation			
Issued	Yes		
Date(s) Issued	14/09/2018 -	reissued on 17/09/2018	
Comms Ref(s)	2074.3 - RJ -	RH / 2076.2 – RJ – RH (reissued version)	
Number of Responses	5 (4 approve, 1 reject with alternative)		
Section A6: Funding			
Funding Classes	☐ Shipper	XX%	
		rid Transmission XX%	
		Network Operator XX%	
		XX%	
Samina Lina(a)		^^70	
Service Line(s)			
ROM or funding details	This will be for	adad by Vacania as process income as	
unding Comments This will be funded by Xoserve as process improvement			
Section A7: DSC Voting Outcor		A 2 2 2 2 2	
Solution Voting	Shipper National Grid Ti	Approve ransmission NA	
		twork Operator NA	
	IGT	NA	
Meeting Date	10/10/2018	17/1	
Release Date	June 2019 Re	lease	
Overall Outcome		as approved to be Xoserve funded. Solution Option 2	
Overall Outcome			
	was approved; it is scope for the June 2019 Release.		

Please send the completed forms to: box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com



Section D: DSC Change Proposal High Level Solution Options

Section D1: Solution Options

High Level summary options

The Impact Assessments for all solution options are included within the following slide pack:



We're asking the industry for their preferred solution option, based on the information acquired from the impact assessments. Please populate section E with your response.

Implementation date for this solution option	June 2019
Xoserve preferred option; including rationale	Reject "duplicate" replacement reads and provide an existing rejection code/reason. We believe this is the most cost-effective solution with minimal system impacts.
DSG preferred solution option; including rationale	Reject "duplicate" replacement reads and provide an existing rejection code/reason.
Consultation close out date	28 th September 2018



Section E: DSC Change Proposal: Industry Response Solution Options Review

User Name

User Contact Details

LOLEW@orsted.co.uk

O207 451 1974

Section E1: Organisation's preferred solution option, including rationale taking into account costs, risks, resource etc.

We support the preferred option to reject duplicate replacement reads and provide a rejection reason code of MRE00436.

Implementation date for this option	Approve
Xoserve preferred solution option	Approve
DSG preferred solution option	Approve
Publication of consultation response	Publish
Section E1: Xoserve's Response to	
Organisations Comments	Thank you for your comments.

User Name	Eleanor Laurence	
User Contact Details <u>Eleanor.Laurence@edfenergy.com</u> / 07875 117771		
Section E2: Organisation's preferred solution option, including rationale taking into account costs,		
risks, resource etc.		

Our preferred option is Option 2 – Reject read using existing rejection reason Following analysis of the small amount of data provided to our organisation we can confirm that any of these sent were user error and therefore rejection should be the way forward.

This option is the simplest and cheapest build option for industry participants and due to the low numbers of sites involved we feel that this should be the approach. We fully support ensuring that we keep industry change to a minimum and where an existing process can be re-used it should be that approach we take.

Implementation date for this option	Approve
Xoserve preferred solution option	Approve
DSG preferred solution option	Approve
Publication of consultation response	Publish
Section E2: Xoserve's Response to	
Organisations Comments	Thank you for your comments.



User Name	Npower
User Contact	Gas.codes@npower.com
Details	

Section E3: Organisation's preferred solution option, including rationale taking into account costs, risks, resource etc.

We support Option 2 Reject "duplicate" replacement reads and provide an existing rejection code/reason. This will protect shippers from having to make expensive system changes.

Implementation date for this option	Approve
Xoserve preferred solution option	Approve
DSG preferred solution option	Approve
Publication of consultation response	Publish
Section E3: Xoserve's Response to	
Organisations Comments	Thank you for your comments.

User Name	SSE Energy Supply Mark Jones	
User Contact Details		
Section E4: Organisation's preferred solution option, including rationale taking into account costs		

Section E4: Organisation's preferred solution option, including rationale taking into account costs, risks, resource etc.

SSE has a strong preference for Option A. This is because this option clearly identifies to users where a duplicate read has been submitted and rejected as a unique error code is generated. Whilst it is the option which probably means more work for most due to the introduction of a new error code, we believe that this option will, from a business process view, be the most optimum and the upfront effort of adding a new error code will be more than offset by the improvement in the business processes around these rejections.

Within the change there is no mention of the scenario where the same read is submitted with a different TTZ count. We expect that this read would not be rejected, but would be processed as normal due to the difference in energy allocation that this new read would create.

Implementation date for this option	Approve
Xoserve preferred solution option	Reject
DSG preferred solution option	Reject
Publication of consultation response	Publish
Section E4: Xoserve's Response to	
Organisations Comments	Thank you for your comments. These will be passed to ChMC for consideration. Regarding the possibility of the same read & read date but a different TTZ count, this is not classed as a duplicate replacement so would not be rejected but processed accordingly (as the issue is around a Reconciliation Quantity of zero, a different TTZ count would create a non-zero Reconciliation Quantity). We will however, ensure this is clear in the capture documents that are passed to projects for delivery and the Solution Impact Assessment documents to be discuss at ChMC.



Section E5: Organisation's preferred solution option, including rationale taking into account corisks, resource etc. Scottish power's preferred option would be number 2 – reject duplicate replacement read and provide existing rejection code/reason. This is a low cost option and means no changes to our systems. Implementation date for this option Approve Xoserve preferred solution option Approve DSG preferred solution option Approve Publication of consultation response Publish Section E5: Xoserve's Response to Organisations Comments Thank you for your comments.	User Name	Scottish Power	
Scottish power's preferred option would be number 2 – reject duplicate replacement read and provide existing rejection code/reason. This is a low cost option and means no changes to our systems. Implementation date for this option	User Contact Details	Claire Roberts -	ClaireLouise.Roberts@ScottishPower.com
Scottish power's preferred option would be number 2 – reject duplicate replacement read and provide existing rejection code/reason. This is a low cost option and means no changes to our systems. Implementation date for this option Approve Xoserve preferred solution option Approve DSG preferred solution option Approve Publication of consultation response Publish Section E5: Xoserve's Response to	Section E5: Organisati	on's preferred solution	on option, including rationale taking into account costs,
existing rejection code/reason. This is a low cost option and means no changes to our systems. Implementation date for this option	risks, resource etc.		
Xoserve preferred solution option DSG preferred solution option Approve Publication of consultation response Section E5: Xoserve's Response to	Scottish power's preferred option would be number 2 – reject duplicate replacement read and provide an existing rejection code/reason. This is a low cost option and means no changes to our systems.		
DSG preferred solution option Publication of consultation response Section E5: Xoserve's Response to Approve Publish	Implementation date for	r this option	Approve
Publication of consultation response Publish Section E5: Xoserve's Response to	Xoserve preferred solu	tion option	Approve
Section E5: Xoserve's Response to	DSG preferred solution	option	Approve
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Publication of consulta	ntion response	Publish
Organisations Comments Thank you for your comments.	Section E5: Xoserve's	Response to	
· ·	Organisations Comme	nts	Thank you for your comments.
			, ,

Section F: DSC Change Proposal: Approved Solution Option

Section F1: Solution Option for XRN4670

This change was approved to be Xoserve funded. Solution Option 2 was approved; it is scope for the June 2019 Release. Reject "duplicate" replacement reads and provide an existing rejection code/reason

Implementation date	June 2019 Release
Approved by	Change Management Committee
Date of approval	10/10/2018



Section G: DSC Change Proposal: Change Pack

Communication Detail

Comm Reference:	2157.2 – RJ – ES
Comm Title:	Reject a replacement read, where the read provided is identical to that already held in UK Link for the same read date
	23 rd November 2018

Change Representation

Action Required:	For representation	
Close Out Date:	7 th December 2018	

Change Detail

Change Detail				
Xoserve Reference Number:	XRN4670			
Change Class:	System Validation Change			
ChMC Constituency Impacted:	All Shipper Users			
Change Owner:	Simon Harris simon.harris@xoserve.com 0121 623 2455			
Background and Context:	Shippers are currently submitting replacement readings, with a read and Through The Zeros (TTZ) count which is identical to the previously submitted actual read recorded in UK Link for the same read date. Current validation and business processes do not consider this scenario. As a result, this is leading to an increase in the number of exceptions received and manual intervention taken to resolve due to the system creating a zero billable consumption. At present, this is not expected and therefore will generate a MN09 exception to be looked at. The current processing of the exception requires a manual consumption adjustment to be completed by the Business Operations Team for an adjustment to be released and the read to be reprocessed. Attached Change Proposal for reference:			



Change Impact Assessment Dashboard (UK Link)

Functional:	Metering (Reads)			
Non-Functional:	lo impact			
Application:	SAP ISU			
User:	Shipper			
Documentation:	None			
Other:	N/A			

Files				
File Parent Record Record Data Attribute Hierarchy or Format Agreed				
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Change Design Description

This change is looking to introduce additional read validation steps to inbound Non Daily Meter (NDM) read files UMR and UBR. These additional validation steps are to identify where a duplicate replacement reading is being submitted into UK Link (UKL). This duplicate replacement reading is then to be rejected back to the submitting Shipper in the URS file using a current rejection code/reason of [MRE00436 - The Meter Point already has a read for this date].

The identification of a duplicate replacement read is:

- Read has to be flagged as a Replacement Read
 - Class 4 Value of "R" in the [METER_READING_REASON] field contained with Record Type [U01] within the inbound UMR file
 - Class 3 Value of "R" in the [METER_READING_REASON] field contained with Record Type [U14] within the inbound UBR file
- Read Date, Read Value and Read TTZ matches the current reading within UKL
- Where a Corrector Device is present, the above logic is carried out on the Corrected Read (not Meter or Un-corrected reads), this is due to the Corrected Reading being used to calculate consumption

DM sites (Class 1 & 2) are out of scope of this change due to actual reads being non-replaceable and as a result, the additional read validation steps will only be applied to NDM sites (Class 3 & 4) submitted via inbound UMR/UBR files.

Working Assumptions:

Replacement of an estimated transfer/class change read - If the Shipper attempts to replace an estimated transfer/class change read and it meets the criteria set out above, thus identifying it as a duplicate replacement read, it too will be rejected back to the submitting Shipper.

Additional Information:



Shipper Rejection Codes - No amendments are needed to be made to the Shipper Rejection Codes list as a result of this change. An existing rejection code is to be used that is already defined within the Shipper Rejection Codes document and correctly aligned to the UMR/UBR (inbound) and URS (outbound) files.

Associated Changes

Associated	
Change(s) and	N/A
Title(s):	

DSG

Target DSG	N/A – XRN4670 has previously been to DSG for development.
discussion date:	Tivit Attivior of has proviously seem to boo for development.
Any further	N/A
Any further information:	IV/A

Implementation

Target Release:	28 th June 2019	
Status:	For approval	

Please see the following page for representation comments template; responses to uklink@xoserve.com

Section H: DSC Change Proposal: Representation response

User Name:	Lorna Lewin		
User Contact:	Lorna Lewin lolew@orsted.co.uk 0207 451 1974		
Representation Status:	Approve		
Representation Publication:	Publish		
Representation:	No comment		
Target Release Date:	1 SUDDOUT MORE RELEASE DATE		
Xoserve Response:	Thank you for your comments.		



User Name:	Eleanor Laurence
User Contact:	Eleanor.laurence@edfenergy.com 07875 117771
Representation Status:	N/A
Representation Publication:	Publish
Representation:	We approve proposed solution and release date
Target Release Date:	June 2019
Xoserve Response	Thank you for your comments.



Document Version History

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Summary of Changes
1.0		02/07/18	E Smith	Completed CP template
2.0	Included in the September Change Pack	14/09/18	E Smith	Issued for review, within the September Change Pack, of the System Solution Impact Assessment
3.0	Updated IA results	17/09/18	E Smith	Updated IA Results in Section D; reissued to the industry.
4.0	Reps	20/09/18	E Smith	Reps added and DSG comments added from meeting on 17 th September
5.0	Representation Matrix	01/10/18	E Smith	Representation Matrix sent on 1 st October
6.0	Section F added	12/10/18	E Smith	Section F added following ChMC approval on 10 th October
7.0	Section G added	30/11/18	E Smith	Section G added following the distribution of the design change pack on 23 rd November
8.0	Section H added	14/12/18	E Smith	Section H added (reps)

Template Version History

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Summary of Changes
2.0	Approved	01/05/18	Emma Smith	Layout and cosmetic changes made following internal review

