

DSC Change Proposal Document

Customers to fill out all of the information in the sections coloured Xoserve to fill out all of the information in the sections coloured

A1: General Details

Change Reference:	XRN4853			
Change Title:	Interim process to monitor & manually load rejected reads into UK Link where the read was rejected for reason code MRE00458 only (UIG Recommendation 3.1 option 5)			
Date Raised:	01/02/2019			
	Organisation:	1: Xoserve		
Sponsor	Name:	Alex Stuart		
Representative Details:	Email: <u>Alex.Stuart@xoserve.com</u>			
	Telephone:	e: 07793463675		
Xoserve	Name:	Name: Leanne Jackson		
Representative	Email:	Leanne.Jackson@xoserve.com		<u>n</u>
Details:	Telephone:	: 07779030956		
Change Status	Proposal		⊠ With DSG	□ Out for Review
Change Status:			□ Approved	□ Rejected

A2: Impacted Parties

	⊠ Shipper	□ Distribution Network Operator	
Customer Class(es):	□ NG Transmission	□ IGT	
	□ Other	<if [other]="" details="" here="" please="" provide=""></if>	

A3: Proposer Requirements / Final (redlined) Change

Change Description:	CDSP to monitor rejections for rejection code MRE00458 (New uncorrected reading is less than previous uncorrected reading). CDSP will manually load the read to UK Link if the read has passed all other validations. Subsequent reads will load normally if they pass validation checks. The confirmation of a read successfully loaded by Xoserve will be via email. This would need to be an interim process which will be required to be implemented asap and should cease once XRN4621 has been implemented (Nov 2019) if the scope change to XRN4621 to remove validation on uncorrected reads is agreed.
Proposed Release:	This is not release dependant, would need to be implemented as



	soon as practically possible.	
Proposed	☑ 10 Working Days	20 Working Days
Consultation Period:	□ 30 Working Days	Other [Specify Here]

A4: Benefits and Justification

Benefit Description:	Tangible benefit – actual reads will be loaded into UK Link which will result in true consumption being billed and reads being used to allow the rolling AQ calculation to take place.
	What, if any, are the tangible benefits of introducing this change? What, if any, are the intangible benefits of introducing this change?
Benefit Realisation:	Upon the creation of this report and manual interim process being implemented. Currently approximately 700 MPRNs a month are being rejected for this rejection code.
	When are the benefits of the change likely to be realised?
Benefit	The process will need to continue until the implementation of XRN4621 (currently planned for November 2019) if the scope change to XRN4621 to remove validation on uncorrected reads is agreed.
Dependencies:	Please detail any dependencies that would be outside the scope of the change, this could be reliance on another delivery, reliance on some other event that the projects has not got direct control of.

A5: Final Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations

Final DSG	Until a final decision is achieved, please refer to section C of the form.				
Recommendation:	□ Approve				
DSG Recommended Release:	Release X: Feb/Jun/Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY		ΥΥΥΥ		

A6: Funding

	⊠ Shipper	33 %	
	National Grid Transmission	XX %	
Funding Classes:	☑ Distribution Network Operator	67 %	
	□ IGT	XX %	
	□ Other <please specify=""></please>	XX %	
Service Line(s)	DSC Service Area 5: Metered Volume and Quantity		
ROM or funding details:			
Funding Comments:	22/03/2019 - this change is expected to have no impact to the DSC service lines.		

A7: ChMC Recommendation – 13th February 2019 / 13th March 2019

Change Status:	☑ Approve (to	Reject	⊠ Defer (13 th
Change Status.	proceed to DSG –		February 2019)



	13 th March 2019)			
Industry	⊠ 10 Working Days		20 Working Days	
Consultation:	30 Working Days		Other [S	Specify Here]
Expected date of receipt for responses (to Xoserve)	1 st March 2019			

DSC Consultation Issue:	⊠ Yes	□ No
Date Issued:	15/02/2019	
Comms Ref(s):	2234.4 – RJ – ES	
Number of Responses:	4 (3 approvals, 1 rejection)	
Comments	13/03/2019 – Approved to proceed to DSG following initial review	

A8: DSC Voting Outcome

	□ Shipper		Please select.	
	National Grid Transmission		Please select.	
Solution Voting:	🗆 Distributi	Distribution Network Operator		Please select.
	🗆 IGT			Please select.
Meeting Date:	Click here to enter a date.			
Release Date:	Release X: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY or NA			
Overall Outcome:	□ No □ Yes If [Yes] please specify			specify <release></release>

Please send the completed forms to: <u>box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com</u>



Section B: Change Proposal Initial Review

B1: User Details

	Organisation:	Orsted
User Contact	Name:	Lorna Lewin
Details:	Email:	lolew@orsted.co.uk
	Telephone:	0207 451 1974

B1: ChMC Industry Consultation

. Do you think the change proposed poses a material risk/cost to your organisation and / or he market? Please can you provide the rationale for your response					
	No – this change will bring benefits to our organisation and the industry by allowing more readings to be accepted, which will increase settlement volumes based on actual data.				
	ange proposed will bene antifiable outputs as we			I / or the market?	
As per comments in Q	1				
3. Considering any functional changes as a result of this change, would your organisation support this to be implemented within a minor release as proposed? Based on your answer how much lead time would your organisation require to implement this change (for example minimum of four months, minimum of six months)					
This change will not require any internal system changes; therefore, we support this change being included in a minor release.					
4. As currently drafted the Change Proposal impacts on service area 5: Metered Volume and Quantity. The funding for this area is 33% Shipper funding, 0% NTS, 67% DNS 0% IGTs, 0% Other. Do you agree with the principles of this funding?					
Change Proposal in principle:	⊠ Approve	□ Reject		□ Defer	
Publication of consultation response:	⊠ Publish		□ Private		

Please send the completed forms to: uklink@xoserve.com



B2: User Details

	Organisation:	E.ON
User Contact	Name:	Kirsty Dudley
Details:	Email:	Kirsty.Dudley@eonenegy.com
	Telephone:	07816 172 645

B2: ChMC Industry Consultation

1. Do you think the change proposed poses a material risk/cost to your organisation and / or the market? Please can you provide the rationale for your response

Our assessment is this interim approach would have impacts on us and due to this we do not support this approach.

- 1. Our assessment is short term system changes would be needed to facilitate this change to then be replaced by XRN4621
- 2. It could cause misalignment between our billing and settlement processes which we would not support
- 3. The interim change would mean we'd have to change our current working practices rather than slightly adapting them because out interpretation is we'd have to reconcile these reports rather than the rejection reasons on receipt of the files

2. Do you think the change proposed will benefit your organisation and / or the market? Please provide any quantifiable outputs as well as any assumptions.

Overall, we feel the change would not benefit our organisation, whilst we understand the thinking behind introducing the proposed interim solution, the changes we believe would require us to make interim system and process changes until the permanent solution is deliver under XRN4621 in November 2019. This maybe lead by Xoserve but it doesn't mean Shippers can deploy an adhoc interim solution.

Our assessment outcome is this change would not just impact Xoserve, it would have market impacts which do not have the enough short-term benefits to warrant the introduction of it. We prefer to wait for the introduction of XRN4621. We believe the introduction of this would create internal complications which would take longer to work with the possibility of creating duplication.

We are supportive of actions which reduce or stabilise UIG but we do not believe this solution offers the interim benefits and believe waiting for the permanent solution is the better approach.

3. Considering any functional changes as a result of this change, would your organisation support this to be implemented within a minor release as proposed? Based on your answer how long a lead time would your organisation require to implement this change (for example minimum of 4 months, minimum of 6 months)

We do not support this change being implemented as outlined in Q2, however if it were to be introduced we would need a minimum 6 months lead time to facilitate the change.

4. As currently drafted the Change Proposal impacts on service area 5: Metered Volume and Quantity. The funding for this area is 33% Shipper funding, 0% NTS, 67% DNS 0% IGTs, 0% Other. Do you agree with the principles of this funding?

We support the funding principles outlined should the change be approved.



Change Proposal in principle:		⊠ Reject		Defer
Publication of consultation response:	⊠ Publish		□ Private	

Please send the completed forms to: uklink@xoserve.com



B3: User Details

	Organisation:	Amie Charalambous
User Contact	Name:	npower
Details:	Email:	Gas.codes@npower.com
	Telephone:	07917271763

B3: ChMC Industry Consultation

1. Do you think the change proposed poses a material risk/cost to your organisation and / or the market? Please can you provide the rationale for your response					
In principle we are supportive of this change however we would like to understand - <i>if reads</i> are entered manually, does this still trigger a U10 back to the shipper to close the process?					
	ange proposed will bene antifiable outputs as we			I / or the market?	
3. Considering any functional changes as a result of this change, would your organisation support this to be implemented within a minor release as proposed? Based on your answer how much lead time would your organisation require to implement this change (for example minimum of four months, minimum of six months)					
We are supportive of this change on the provision that a U10 is triggered to close the process.					
4. As currently drafted the Change Proposal impacts on service area 5: Metered Volume and Quantity. The funding for this area is 33% Shipper funding, 0% NTS, 67% DNS 0% IGTs, 0% Other. Do you agree with the principles of this funding?					
support					
Change Proposal in principle:	⊠ Approve	□ Reject		Defer	
Publication of consultation response:	⊠ Publish		Private		



B4: User Details

	Organisation:	Southern Electric Gas Limited, SSE Energy Supply Limited
User Contact	Name:	Megan Coventry
Details:	Email:	Megan.coventry@sse.com
	Telephone:	02392277738

B4: ChMC Industry Consultation

1. Do you think the change proposed poses a material risk/cost to your organisation and / or the market? Please can you provide the rationale for your response				
No.				
	ange proposed will bene antifiable outputs as wel			/ or the market?
Yes. We anticipate that	t this change will result i	in fewer reje	ctions.	
3. Considering any functional changes as a result of this change, would your organisation support this to be implemented within a minor release as proposed? Based on your answer how much lead time would your organisation require to implement this change (for example minimum of four months, minimum of six months)				
Yes.				
4. As currently drafted the Change Proposal impacts on service area 5: Metered Volume and Quantity. The funding for this area is 33% Shipper funding, 0% NTS, 67% DNS 0% IGTs, 0% Other. Do you agree with the principles of this funding?				
Yes.				
Change Proposal in principle:	⊠ Approve	□ Reject		□ Defer
Publication of consultation response:	⊠ Publish		Private	



C1: Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations

(To be removed if no DSG Discussion is required; Xoserve to collate where DSG discussions occur)

DSG Date:	18/03/2019			
DSG Summary:	RJ explained that there was an action from the ChMC meeting to send out a communication to Shippers if they want to opt out the manual work around proposed in the change. Email communication reference is 2266 – RJ - DA. Responses are needed by Monday 25 th March and no response will result in automatically opting in.			
Capture Document / Requirements:	<insert appropriate="" where=""></insert>			
DSG Recommendation:	Approve Reject Defer			
DSG Recommended Release:	Release X: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY			



Appendix 1

Change Prioritisation Variables

Xoserve uses the following variables set for each and every change within the Xoserve Change Register, to derive the indicative benefit prioritisation score, which will be used in conjunction with the perceived delivery effort to aid conversations at the DSC ChMC and DSC Delivery Sub Groups to prioritise changes into all future minor and major releases.

Change Details

	CMA Order		OD / Ofgem		
	EU Legislation		□ License Condition		
Change Driver Type:	□ BEIS		\boxtimes Ch	⊠ ChMC endorsed Change Proposal	
	□ SPAA Change Propos	sal	🗆 Ad	lditional / 3rd	Party Service Request
	□ Other		<lf [o<="" td=""><td colspan="2">Other] please provide details here></td></lf>	Other] please provide details here>	
Customer group(s)	⊠ Shipper	🗆 IG	Т		
impacted if the change is not	□ Xoserve		G Transmission		
delivered:	□ Other	<lf [c<="" td=""><td></td><td>•</td><td>e details here></td></lf>		•	e details here>
Associated Change Ref Number(s):	XRN4621			ciated MOD Number(s):	N/A
Perceived delivery	□ 0-30		□ 30	-60	
effort (days):	□ 60-100		⊠ 10	⊠ 100+	
Does the change involve the	'Any information relating to an identifiable person who can be		☐ Yes (if selected please answer the next question)		
processing of personal data?	directly or indirectly identified i particular by reference to an identifier' - includes MPRNS.	n	⊠ No		
A Data Protection Impact Assessment	New Technology		□ Theft of Gas		
(DPIA) will be	Mass Data		Xoserve Employee Data		
required if the change involves the	□ Vulnerable Customer	Data	\Box Fundamental changes to Xoserve		
processing of personal data in any	□ Other		<lf [o<="" td=""><td colspan="2"><if [other]="" details="" here="" please="" provide=""></if></td></lf>	<if [other]="" details="" here="" please="" provide=""></if>	
of the following scenarios:	(If any of the above boxes have been selected then please Officer (Kevin Eltoft-Prest) to complete the DPIA.				ntact The Data Protection
Change Beneficiary:	⊠ Multiple Market Partic	ipants		Multiple Market Group	
How many market participant or segments	All UK Gas Market Participants		nts	Xoserve Only	
stand to benefit this change?	One Market Group			One Market Participant	
Primary Impacted DSC Service Area:	Service Area 5: Metered	Volum	ne and	Metered Qua	ntity
Number of Service	⊠ One		Two to Five		



Areas Impacted:	□ Five to Twenty					
Improvement Scale?	🗆 High		□ Medium		⊠ Low	
Are any of the	□ Safety of Supply at risk					
following at risk if the change is not	Customer(s) incurring financial loss					
delivered?	Customer Sw	vitching a	at risk			
Are any of the	Customer System	stem Ch	anges Requir	ed		
following required if the change is	Customer Te	sting Like	ely Required			
delivered?	Customer Training Required					
	⊠ BW					
Primary Application	□ AMT		🗆 EFT			
impacted:	🗆 Gemini		□ Birst			
	□ Other		<lf [other]="" p<="" td=""><td colspan="3">ner] please provide details here></td></lf>	ner] please provide details here>		
	□ AQ				🗆 RGMA	
Business Process Impacted:	⊠ Reads		Portal		Invoicing	
	□ Other		If [Other] please provide details here>		e details here>	
Any known impacts to external services	□ Yes	<pre> <lf [yes]="" details="" here="" please="" provide=""></lf></pre>				
and/or systems as a result of this change?	🖾 No					

Workaround Details

Workaround in	⊠ Yes	If [No] please do <u>not</u> continue completing the [Workaround Details] section			
operation?	🗆 No				
Who is accountable for the workaround?	⊠ Xoserve		External Customer	□ Both	
What is the Frequency of the workaround?	Daily				
What is the lifespan for the workaround?	01/11/2019				
What is the number of resource effort hours required to service workaround?	One FTE require	ed for wo	orkaround		
What is the	Low (easy, repetitive, quick task, very little risk of human error)				
Complexity of the	Medium	•	ate difficult, requires some form a risk of human error in determi		
workaround?	□ High (complicate task, time consuming, requires specialist resources, high risk of human error in determining outcome)				

Prioritisation Score

Change Prioritisation Score:	48%
------------------------------	-----



Version Control

Document

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Remarks
1	For Approval	01/02/2019	Xoserve	CP Raised
2	For Approval	13/02/2019	Xoserve	Appendix added
3	Out for review	14/02/2019	Xoserve	Outcome of ChMC on 13/02 added
4	Out for review	04/03/2019	Xoserve	Reps added following initial review
5	With DSG	15/03/2019	Xoserve	Approved to proceed to DSG on 13 th March 2019 ChMC meeting
6	With DSG	22/03/2019	Xoserve	Funding comments updated
7	With DSG	22/03/2019	Xoserve	Notes added from DSG meeting 18 th March 2019

Template

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Remarks
3.0	Superseded	17/07/2018	Emma Smith	Template approved at ChMC on 11th July 2018.
4.0	Superseded	07/09/2018	Emma Smith	Minor wording amendments and additional customer group impact within Appendix 1.
5.0	Superseded	10/12/2018	Heather Spensley	Template moved to new Word template as part of Corporate Identity changes.
6.0	Approved	12/12/2018	Simon Harris	Cosmetic changes made. Approved at ChMC on the 12 th December 2018.