



DSC Delivery Sub Group

Monday 27th July at 10:30am

Skype Meeting

Meeting Minutes

Industry Attendees		
NAME	ORGANISATION	INITIALS
Ikram Bashir	npower	IB
Helen Bevan	Scottish Power	HB
Steph Podgorski	Generis	SP
Andy Clasper	Cadent Gas	AC
David Morley	OVO Energy	DM
Endre Merai	OctoEnergy	EM
Jack Wilde	northerngas	JW
Elly Laurence	EDF Energy	EL
Sean McSweeney	EON Energy	SMc
Patricia Parker	Utiligroup	PP
Smitha Coughlan	wwutilities	SC
Hamish Mitchell	shellenergy	HM

Xoserve Attendees	
Paul Orsler (Chair)	PO
Charan Singh	CS
Megan Troth	MT
Surfaraz Tambe	ST
James Barlow	JB
Ellie Rogers	ER
Simon Harris	SH
Ed Healy	EH
Richard Hadfield	RH
James Barlow	JB
Julie Bretherton	JBr
Michele Downes	MD
Phanitha Chalasani	PC
Michelle Niits	MN

Slides available [here](#).

1. General Meeting Administration

1a. Welcome and Introductions

1b. Previous DSG Meeting Minutes and Action Updates

Paul Orsler (PO) introduced the meeting and the minutes from the previous meeting were accepted and approved by DSG.

2. Changes in Capture

2a. New Change Proposals – Initial Overview of the Change

2a.i. XRN5188 – Interim Data Loads of MAP id into UK Link

Simon Harris (SH) presented this agenda item. SH provided background explaining that MAP id enduring solution (XRN4780C), which looked at maintenance of MAP Id which was planned for November 2020 Major Release. This has however been descoped and proposed for Jun-2021 Major release. This change has been raised to look into carrying these activities.

SH added that the solution which was built part of Jun-2020 will be re-used but with a review of the rules/scenarios as these may not be fit for purpose. Furthermore, additional reporting and outback to the submitting party will also be revisited. SH also added that a view on how existing data will be affected and how this is communicated to appropriate stakeholders will be taken into consideration. The working assumptions stated by SH are:

- It is thought that the source of this information will continue to be the Meter Asset Providers (MAPs)
- Any data provided that successfully passes validation will replace data already loaded into UK Link (inc RGMA provided MAP Id)

SH asked DSG if they are ok with the working assumptions and if there are any specific scenarios or requirements industry parties feel need to be considered. DSG agreed to move forward into Capture with the working assumptions. There are quite a few assumptions being applied to this change taking into account June.

2b.i. XRN5116- Domestic Pre note report

James Rigby (JR) presented this agenda item. JR explained that this change was sent out in a change pack in June 2020. JR stated that there was an assumption made on this change by Xoserve on behalf of the proposer. This assumption was wrong and since then has been rectified.

JR stated that the report that this CP refers to is specifically referring to the 'Domestic Pre Note Report' (provides Shippers with an 'early warning' of when IGT Small Supply Points are about to fall into contention for the IGT must read process). JR explained that Xoserve is looking to have this report delivered to some shippers via the CDSP 'Additional Service Request' (ASR) process. This Change proposes to deliver the report more widely via a 'General' or 'Specific' service that can be also

bundled up with other services. JR advised that this will be reissued into a change pack with the options relating to a report.

JR requested DSG to inform Xoserve if this will have any material impact on their systems or processes via uklink@xoserve.com.

2c. Undergoing Solution Options Impact Assessment Review

2c.i. XRN5135 – DNO and NTS invoices to Shippers and DNS VAT compliance

James Barlow (JB) explained that the current invoices being issued to DNOs, NTS and shippers as well as DNS are not compliant with VAT legislation. This change looks to rectify the invoices so they are compliant with UK VAT legislation.

JB stated the data items in scope:

- Customer Registered Trading Name
- Customer Registered Trading Address
- Vendor Address

The impacted parties to this Change are Shippers, DNOs and National Grid Transmission

JB asked if DSG felt any other data items that make the invoice VAT compliant are missing in order to confirm our findings.

Potential solution Options

Option 1: Change in individual file formats

- Add the require fields to existing records

Option 2: - create a new record and add to file hierarchies

- Create a new, generic record with the required data items
- For Gemini invoices records file hierarchies to include the new record

Option 3: - Population of standard clause record with missing details

- For invoices delivered within an INV file with would be the I05 record type
- For Gemini invoices records used would be as follows
 - Energy balancing - record D01
 - NTS Entry Capacity - record N01
 - NTS Exit Capacity - record X00
 - NTS Entry Commodity - record D01
 - Optional Tariff - record D01
 - NTS Entry Capacity Buyback - record N01

IB asked if option 3 was the same as described by JB talking about adding in the data. JB confirmed options 1 and 2 would add specific data items whereas option 3 utilises existing free text fields in the I05

JB explained the delivery options of these, outlining both options 1 and 2 impact the file formats and as such, it is expected that these would require a major release

- The next available major release is November 2021

Option 3 will utilise the existing file formats and be supported by functional development

- As such, this release may not require a major release however DSG views on this assumption are invited.

EL and IB stated that option 3 sounds like the low impact/no impact for shippers.

Smitha Coughlan (SC) added that HMRC is now able to interrogate their information digitally and this would be over the next few years. Smitha asked if this can be solution optioned whereby this does not affect the systems in place currently and would require no alterations from the current format.

JB added that the Xoserve preferred option is option 3, population of standard clause record.

- As existing file format will be utilised the changes made are not expected to impact shippers
- Changes required will not be as invasive

DSG were asked if they were comfortable with these solution options. DSG agreed for this to be sent out in a Solution change pack in August for responses and views from industry.

2c. ii. XRN5180 – Inner tolerance validation for replacement reads and read insertions

This change was raised and previously presented at DSG in November 2019.

After additional analysis was found it out of scope of a defect as business rules to support the process were not documented so this change has been raised to address this.

JB explained that this issue occurs where either replacing or inserting a read where a subsequent Valid Actual read exists and an override flag is required. Due to the consumption between the previous read and the replaced/inserted read as well as between the replaced/inserted read and the subsequent read is validated if an override flag is required for only one of the periods that read is always rejected.

JB stated that with analysis, circa 58k reads replacement reads have been rejected in this scenario.

- Inserted reads were not included and, therefore, the actual volume of impacted is likely to be higher

Recommended Solution:

The recommended solution is to accept the override flag as correct where at least one of the periods requires it.

- If backward period requires O/R but forward period does not or
- If backward period does not require O/R but forward period does

JB stated that this option was previously recommended by DSG.

Option 2 – additional override flag

- Add a second override flag to account for the forward period
 - This would be a change and as such require additional funding
 - File format changes would be required to all read files and, potentially, new rejection codes and, therefore, require a major release
 - It is believed that cost/effort would far outweigh the benefits given the volume

Option 3 – Change current validation logic

- Do not perform Inner Tolerance Check on Forward Period. Outer tolerance check would persist
 - This would be a change and as such require additional funding
 - The option would remove a level of validation
 - Provides a similar result to Option 1 however at an additional cost

Option 4 – Do nothing

- These reads will continue to fail and leave the shipper with no route to amend in order to pass

JB asked DSG if they support the recommended solution.

EL asked if this is being re-raised as a change. JB explained that this was initially a defect and has now been raised as a change. PO added that this was raised as a change to progress with customers approval due to the defect team clarifying this cannot be resolved as a defect and would be needed to be raised as a change.

PO explained that the recommended option has been selected as this is the lowest impact to systems and processes of customers.

EL supported the recommended option.

HB support recommend option

2c. iii. – XRN5091 - Deferral of creation of Class change reads at transfer of ownership

JB presented this agenda item. JB stated that this change was raised by EDF to prevent class change estimated reads from blocking the processing of an opening read. Currently, where there is a class change as a result of a change of shipper, be that by design or coincidental a class change estimated read is issued at D.

JB stated that in this scenario, a normal opening read will be rejected as a read already exists. The class change estimated read can be replaced however, as it is an opening read, this would have to be agreed with the outgoing Shipper.

Solution Options for HLSO

The solution options proposed for HLSO are:

1. Remove the need for a class change read on the class change date
 - Very large change involving the fundamentals of SAP
2. Generate and issue class change estimated read and allow the first normal, opening read for D to be processed.
 - Addresses opening read rejection
 - Shippers must continue to handle class change estimate
3. Generate class change estimated read on D but do not issue. If no read is received within the opening read window, then held read is issued
 - Addresses opening read rejection, class change estimate would only be issued as a transfer read estimate

JB added that there are some considerations such as:

- Currently SAP requires a read at the start and end of a class period due to the dependency on supporting settlement arrangements which differ between classes
- Where there is a class change as a result of a transfer then the opening read has to be for D
 - This is also in line with CSSC functionality
- Class change involving class 1 or 2 are deemed out of scope
 - Where the class changes to or from class 1 or 2 a read is always required at D in order to support the allocations process within Gemini

JB stated that Option 3 is Xoserve's preferred solution, this is due to it meeting the objectives of the change proposal and is in line with a transfer with no class change. This is also a minimal, or no impact to Shippers option.

JB requested DSGs views on this option or any concerns and issues.

EL stated that option 3 seems like the sensible option. EL also stated that option 1 seems like a possibility but will be looking to see what options are feasible with assessment.

IB stated that option 3 is the preferred option.

DSG agreed to the recommend solution option presented subject to the Solution options change pack responses.

2d. Solution Options Impact Assessment Review Completed

2d.i. XRN4645B – Rejection of incrementing reads submitted for an isolated supply meter point (RGMA Flows)

JB provided a background on this change being raised to address the scenario of a valid RGMA transaction being submitted for an isolated meter point, with a read that shows progression (offtake). JB stated the transaction is currently accepted and an exception generated when attempting to calculate consumption for the isolated period.

The change was split into Part A and Part B:

- Part A to deliver the rejection functionality
 - This is currently in development
- Part B to review the process required to support the proper allocation of volume

What is the way forward:

JB stated that after further investigation, into the process, the CDSP does not believe there is an appropriate change that will improve the current process.

- Shippers are required to inform the CDSP as soon as they find an isolated meter is capable of flowing gas. Therefore, the current industry processes should be utilised:
 - As soon as a shipper becomes aware that a meter is no longer isolated they notify the CDSP using the date of:
 - When they have been told the status changed e.g. site visit/appointment date
 - If this is not available then the earliest date available i.e. isolation date +1, last read date +1 –
 - The read used when removing the isolation should be the same as the latest accepted read
 - Following delivery of XRN4645A, a read greater than the previous actual read will result in the transaction being rejected
 - Reads should then be submitted as normal, when available
 - If the meter was found with a read higher than the previous/isolation read then following the RGMA status update this higher read should be submitted using the appropriate read file for the product class

IB asked how often will DDP be updated with the sites mentioned?

PO stated that once the DDP team has screen the user story and situation, they will work with the user to arrange when they will be updated, whether it be weekly, monthly etc.

PO added that it would be up to the customer to drive that requirement through their user story. IB asked if there is a drive from Xoserve side to work with customers to deal with updates to the sites that have not been investigated.

PO explained there is an option mentioned under the impact assessment. This is to deliver legacy reporting under this XRN to do the following as an interim measure.

- Requirements will be added to the Data Discovery Platform (DDP) backlog to create reporting to support the identification of impacted sites. Suggested measures:
- Isolated MPRNs that have had incrementing reads rejected
- MPRNs where an attempt was made to remove the isolation with an incrementing read and that read has not been submitted

PO asked DSG for views if they would want to endorse this and if they are of any use. EL and IB agreed this is not something they endorse.

JB asked if DSG are happy to recommend the closure of XRN4645B.

DSG members agreed if this was to be progressed via DDP.

2d.ii. XRN5093 – Update of AUG table to reflect new EUC bands (modification 0711 – Approved by Ofgem)

Ellie Rogers (ER) presented this agenda item. ER stated Under XRN5093, the new EUC bands will be assigned an individual UIG weighting factor which will factor into the UIG sharing process. This means the number of weighting factors provided by the AUG will be updated from 36 to 60 to account for the split EUC01 and EUC02 bands across the 4 classes introduced by XRN4665.

ER presented the 2 options outlined within the HLSO.

Option 1 – EUC changes in UK Link and GEMINI to accept new UIG factors for accurate nomination, allocation and smearing - EUC band details will remain 3 characters within USS file.

The overall impact will be high (UK Link and Gemini, SAP ISU, SAP BW) and is recommended as a Major Release with an XL change size complexity. The high level cost estimate for this change is £175,000 to £250,000

Option 2 – EUC changes in UK Link, GEMINI and MarketFlow to accept new UIG factors for accurate nomination, allocation and smearing – EUC to be extended to 5 characters in the USS file helping to future proof the solution.

This option has an overall impact of High (UK Link, MarketFlow and Gemini, SAP PO, SAP ISU, SAP BW) and is recommended for a Major release type. The change size complexity is XL with a high level cost estimate of £175,000-£250,000

ER highlighted that the options within the HLSO are very similar and the only difference is within option 2, the USS file will be updated so that the EUC field has 5 characters rather than 3. ER explained that Xoserve's preferred solution is option 2. This is due to option 2 incorporating the change to character length field within the USS file, which provides a greater level of future proofing. This could give flexibility, should future changes to EUC Bands arise, making it easier to manage e.g. including WAR bands, LDZs which EUCs.

Option 2 also ensures the EUC band field are consistent across interfaces (Gemini/AMT/PO/ISU).

It was highlighted that the USS is an internal file, therefore this update will not affect external users.

ER confirmed that the HLSO had been issued out to the industry within a Solution Review Change Pack in July and the deadline to submit representation is 27th July. Due to the deadline being the same day as DSG, ER proposed taking a 'DSG preference' based on the meeting discussions to August ChMC where a decision would be made.

EL and HB stated that they have sent this change out for consultation within their organisations and didn't believe there were any comments on solution preference.

Both EL and HB agreed to support this preferred solution from the DSG discussions and options explained in this meeting. Based on this, a DSG preference for option 2 will be presented within the August ChMC.

ER also highlighted to the group that as part of this change, the AUGER who are the organisation contracted to provide the UIG share factors will as part of this change have to provide 60 UIG share factors rather than 36. As a result, we have recently been informed that there is an additional contract set-up cost to be funded under XRN5093 to deliver their element of the change. An initial cost from the AUGER has been provided which is £19,000. This cost would be on top of the HLSO costs provided. ER confirmed this was just for awareness and the information needed to be discussed in ChMC. PO added this will be fed into the next ChMC meeting to give light on the breakdown of costs and items that are not included within the HLSO estimate.

3. Changes in Detailed Design – None for this meeting

3a. Design Considerations

3a.i. XRN4989 – B – Online end to end credit interest process.

Simon Harris (SH) presented this agenda item. SH stated that following implementation of XRN4989A (MiR7), the credit interest invoice process has become fully automated, this includes,

- The identification of qualifying MPRN's via closed Duplicate (DUP) contacts through CMS
- Calculating the Credit Interest charges and issuing via the INT Invoice Type

- Sending of Supporting Information via the Information eXchange (IX) in the agreed File Format (.IIS - Interest Invoice Supporting Information)

SH stated that there were risks identified during testing that changes may be required to the .IIS file format to mitigate potential risks.

During testing, SH stated the following risks were identified

1. The current Max Occurrences (3000) for the K77 (INTEREST_DETAIL) Record may be set too low
 - o The K77 Record gives the Shipper visibility of the different variances that make up the overall Credit Interest Adjustment
 - o Variances occur where there is a change in standing data items that feed the calculation of charges (e.g. Barclays Base Rate (BBR) change)
2. The current INTEREST_NO_OF_DAYS data item Character Length (3) within the K77 Record may be set too low
 - o The INTEREST_NO_OF_DAYS data item shows the number of days for the relevant variance record
 - o If a variance spans a long period with no changes to standing data items and the Credit Interest Adjustment goes back a long time then the number could exceed 999 days

In addition, SH stated that as a result the CDSP are proposing to make the following amendments to the .IIS file format and hierarchy.

1. The current Max Occurrences (3000) for the K77 (INTEREST_DETAIL) Record may be set too low
 - Increase the Max Occurrence for the K77 Record within the .IIS file to 750,000
 - This is to account for potential increase in DUP contacts (same Shipper/DN) and in BBR changes
2. The current INTEREST_NO_OF_DAYS data item Character Length (3) within the K77 Record may be set too low
 - Increase the Character Length for the INTEREST_NO_OF_DAYS data item to 5
 - This will allow up to 9999 days per variance period

SH added that either of the risks highlighted will stop the CDSP from being able to successfully process the .IIS file via the IX. Furthermore as the file format changes were not included in MiR7, there will be a period of time where the .IIS file may have an occurrence and character length breach (up until implementation of XRN4989-B).

SH also added that the risk is extremely low. However if this were to happen, the file would need to be sent via email to impacted shippers as previously discussed. Also post implementation of MiR7, the CDSP has put in a process place to identify where these issues occur and have the appropriate business teams ready to execute the workaround if needed.

SH stated that the changes to the File Format and Hierarchy is planned to be delivered via the Minor Enhancement. The exact dates for this will be communicated out once known.

The intention is to ensure the .IIS supporting information is issued out in October 2020 (3rd last business day) and will have the proposed amendments to it.

The detailed design change pack 2634.2 – MT – JR was issued out in July 2020 with the proposed amendment to file format and hierarchy.

SH stated that this is for awareness and information only at the moment.

The Detailed design change pack will be closing out for representation on the 27th July 2020.

SH urged DSG to please raise any concerns they might have from the outlined presentation and this would need to be raised asap due to the change pack consultation process closing out.

Sean McSweeney (SMc) EON asked why this was separated as part b and not included into part A. SH replied explaining that to keep track of this issue, the same XRN was used to ensure there is a link to the previous part of this change delivered in MiR7 which is still in PIS.

EL asked if it is being delivered as per the releases outlined. SH stated that this was originally proposed for MiR8 but has not been scoped as yet due to the 3 month lead time which would avoid any other risks.

SH added that the change could even be scoped into the MiR9 if needed to allow for the lead time and industry being informed with enough time.

3b. Requirements Clarification – None for this meeting

4. Potential new PSR Code relating to Covid-19

SH presented this agenda item. SH explained that the safeguarding customers working group SCWG meets each month with a purpose of bringing together DNOs, GDNs, Suppliers as well as non-industry relevant bodies.

This group's main aim is to look at areas using a coordinated approach across the industry to benefit and safeguard customers in vulnerable situations.

- At a recent SCWG meeting it was posed (via National Energy Action (NEA)) that more needs to be done regarding impacts of COVID-19 on fuel poverty
- One of the potential ways to achieve this is to introduce a new Priority Services Register (PSR) Needs Code
- This was challenged by a number of Suppliers as a GDPR consideration and the right of passing this PSR Code to parties (e.g. DN/IGT/Shippers) that have no direct financial relationship with the end consumer
- Agreement across the board was given for a need to do something to identify affected consumers but a new PSR code may not be the right solution
- This was left with SCWG members being asked to have a think about how this can be achieved and consider the PSR route

- Any amendments to the PSR Needs Codes would have to be facilitated via a SPAA Change Proposal to update MDD
- The CDSP wanted to bring this potential requirement to your attention as if the PSR route is chosen, and due to its relationship with COVID-19, delivery would be expected to be fast tracked

SH advised this information was for awareness only and the CDSP will engage further once the outcome is known.

5. CNC processing Update

This agenda item was presented by JB. JB stated that this is off the back of XRN4850 and explains that the number of transactions via CNC are too low to allow the update to occur as it would be a large number. There is an update on this to increase the allowance. The request will be that Xoserve will be looking to get Shippers who use the 'BRO' contact to get the size and current load from customers. This will help build up requirements on this change. EL asked if Shippers using the 'BRO' is mandatory as she thought this was. JB responded by stating that it is mandatory for a lot due to it being considered a SPAA schedule.

Therefore, anyone relating to SPAA, it would be mandatory. JB advised that this would be overseen with customers and Xoserve customers advocates working together to get a gauge and idea of what number is required to be processed for that organisation.

6. Major Release Update

6a. June 2020

ST presented this agenda item. ST stated that the project is currently in PIS phase. The project team are monitoring first usage and any defects identified.

CNC file processing enhancement: the workstream has been established to increase system capacity from 30,000 per day to enable upload of Customer Contact Details. Xoserve requests Shippers to liaise with their customer advocates prior to uploading customer contact details into UK Link.

ST also stated there is a risk that Shippers will send in bulk files with customer information through the CNF/CNC files because of the implementation of XRN4850 functionality leading to increased pressure on Xoserve systems. Furthermore, the project delivery costs are in line with approved BER.

PO raised that the issue with the CNC file processing is now an issue and not a risk as previously reported. This risk has now materialised and become an issue.

XRN4850 new service SMS/broadcast notification (as part of the June 20 release), this is running to an amber RAG status.

The paper has been submitted and discussed at contract management committee on 15th July to seek authority from Data controllers authorising transfer of data outside the EEA

before Xoserve can commence the SMS service with Twilio. CoMC have requested the supporting evidence to be submitted to SPA on 5th August detailing the tendering process for Twilio being selected and what Xoserve have in place to mitigate any GDPR concerns, before a final decision being made on CoMC 19th August meeting.

- **New Service Implementation:** Following conclusion of the above, project team will implement the new service functionality to enable Networks to request Broadcast messages to be sent to end consumers, planned for late August/early September.
- **PIS:** PIS for the new service will commence following implementation. The original PIS duration, 3 months, will need to be extended as a result. Cost implications under analysis and will be shared.
- **Change Requests:** The CR's from Market Trials have been captured and impact analysis is underway. Timings and costs will be shared at the next meeting for approval to be implemented during PIS/subsequent release.
- **Issue** – The duration of PIS will need to be extended for the new service for xrn4850 due to the delay of this service going live meaning the service is not used within the original PIS window
- **Risk** - Contact Management committee do not approve the transfer of data outside of the EEA or there is a delay in them providing approval because of an unacceptable level of risk to them as data controllers leading to a delay to the implementation of the new service functionality and an extension to project timeline and costs
- Following implementation, when the Customer Amendment file (CNC) was being sent to UKLink with both a 'CON' and 'BRO' update sent within the S66 record, one of the records (BRO or CON) was accepted and the other incorrectly rejected with reason code CT00032 error.
- This will mean that updates are not being recorded in UKLink and not being issued to the Distribution Networks and IGTs within their daily update files.
- The code fix was implemented on 4th July 2020, any valid updates processed after this date will be recorded in UKLink.
- All Shippers who have had their updates rejected prior to the fix being implemented, will be contacted on Tuesday 7th July providing the MPRNs and to discuss options for processing the rejected records.
- A lessons learnt will be conducted to identify why this issue occurred and why this was not picked up during testing. Any actions from this will be discussed at Augusts ChMC.

6b. November 2020

PO presented this agenda item alongside Ed Healy (EH). EH stated that the project has an overall green RAG status.

EH stated that the design activities have been completed 19/06/20. Design milestone and Stage Gate completed 09/07/20.

Track 1

Build activities completed - 03/07/20. SIT in progress and due to finish 28/07/20. This is within the planned contingency for SIT. UAT has started 27/07/20.

Track 2

Build activities in progress and due to finish – 30/07/20. SIT preparation activities ongoing, SIT execution due to start as planned for Track 2 changes that have completed Build – 20/07/20. UAT preparation ongoing, execution planned to start -17/08/20

	Change	Description	Change Pack	FS	Test Scenarios	Technical Spec	Build & UT	ST/SIT	UAT	RT
Track 1	XRN4931	Space in SPA Files	Approved	Approved	Approved	On Track to Plan	100%	64%		
	XRN4897	Resolution of Contact Details	Approved	Approved	Approved	On Track to Plan	100%	67%		
	XRN4899	Treatment of Contact Details	Approved	Approved	Approved	On Track to Plan	100%	67%		
Track 2	XRN4871b	Ratchet Regime Changes	Approved	Approved	Approved	On Track to Plan	70%			
	XRN4801	Additional Detail in DES	Approved	Approved	Approved	On Track to Plan	100%	0%		
	XRN5014	Hydeploy Trial	Approved	Approved	Approved	On Track to Plan	100%	0%		

6c. XRN4914 – MOD 0651- Retrospective Data Update Provision

PO presented this agenda item. PO explained that in the next couple weeks the findings from the level 2 deep dive findings will be ready to be shared with the industry. This will also include recommendations on how to go forward with retro with what has been determined using the data and recommendations. PO advised that by next DSG, there will be a comprehensive update on this project.

6d. Minor Release Drop 7

- Julie Bretherton (JBr) presented this agenda item. The project is in PIS phase which started 06/07/2020 to end on 07/08/2020. Furthermore, the First Usage monitoring is currently ongoing and had started 20/07/2020. JBr advised that for XRN4989 - Online Solution for Credit Interest Process, the first invoices are due to be seen on Wednesday. JBr stated that following an update call with the project team this morning, there is no indication there will be any issues. JBr added that the project closedown activities have begun, and the project is due to be closed down by 21/08/2020. Furthermore, BAU Pre-Prod Refresh of ISU / BW happening w/c 20/07 – workaround in place should any first usage issues arise during PIS

7. Retail and Network Delivery Overview

Richard Hadfield (RH) presented this agenda item. RH stated that the future releases will be going for scope approval for June 2021, and Minor Release Drop 8 at August ChMC.

EL asked if there is a potential release date for MiR8. RH stated that it was originally looked at as the first weekend in October, but this has since been pushed back to the end of October 2020. RH advised he will be taking this to ChMC in August for an implementation date and scope approval.

RH presented a slide showing proposed changes for the future release.

Proposed Release	XRN	Candidate Change Title	Comments	Current Status	Complexity	Points	Finance
Jun-21	4941	MOD0692 - Auto updates to meter read frequency	Descoped from Nov 20. Under appeal	Design	Large	13	Shippers
	4992	MOD0687 – Creation of new charge to recover last resort supply payments	Descoped from Nov 20. MOD approval outstanding	Design	Large	13	Shippers
	5093	MOD0711 – Update of AUG Table to reflect new EUC bands	HLSOs in review	In Capture	X-Large	21	Shippers
	5038	MOD0691 – Class 2,3 or 4 meter points to Class 1 when G1.6.15 criteria are met	Depending on solution option chosen this change could be delivered via a Minor Release	In Capture	Tbd	Tbd	Shippers
	5142	New allowable values for DCC Service Flag in DXI File from DCC	Related to a SEC change	In Capture	Tbd	Tbd	DNO
Nov 21	4990	MOD0664 – Transfer of sites with low read submission performance		In Capture	Tbd.	Tbd	Shippers
	5063	MOD0719R – Flow Weighted Average CV (FWACV)	Change is likely to be very complex and may not be delivered by a UK Link Major Release.	In Capture	XXX-Large	Tbd	DNO
	5186 / 5187	Aligning Capacity booking under the UNC and arrangements set out in relevant NEXAs / or / MOD0696 - Addressing inequities between Capacity booking under the UNC and arrangements set out in relevant NEXAs	Only one of 5186 or 5187 will be considered for a UK Link Future Release.	In Capture	Tbd.	Tbd	DNO
	5072	Class 4 with AMR/DRE installed		In Capture	Tbd.	Tbd	Shippers

	5091	Deferral of creation of Class change reads at transfer of ownership		In Capture	Tbd.	Tbd	Shippers
MiR D8	5181	Rejection of consumption adjustments	Impact assessment in progress. This XRN will be renamed.	In Capture	Tbd	Tbd	N/A

RH stated that XRN5188 has subsequently been dropped from Drop 8 as the Impact Assessment indicated that it was not a suitable Minor Release candidate. RH stated that service sustaining activities will be included in Drop 8.

8. Issue Management

8a. AQ Task Force Update

Issue Management Dashboard:

https://gasgov-mst-files.s3.eu-west-1.amazonaws.com/s3fs-public/ggf/2020-07/7.3.1%20Customer%20Issue%20Management%20Dashboard%20-%20CoMC%2015-07-20%20V1.pptx?13AJbsj18WR9JubuXbj45exU92_GdzP9=

AQ Taskforce:

https://gasgov-mst-files.s3.eu-west-1.amazonaws.com/s3fs-public/ggf/2020-07/7.3.4%20AQ%20Taskforce%20Update%20.pptx?_eGOWIW16f66mhnbgIxL1_TnU9Rx6LwH=

8b. Proposals for Resolution of MN09 Exceptions

Michele Downes (MD) explained that this was raised and discussed at the last DSG meeting.

MD explained a communication was issued to contract managers on the 14th July 2020. Furthermore, the same comms were issued to DSG members on 17th July 2020.

The comms included the impacted MPRNs and the period of reconciliation charges have been held. As of the 17th July, only once response had been received preferring option 2A.

Please refer to the slide deck (slides 100-104).

MD asked for DSGs views on these options and agree a singular option to be applied the across all customers. MD added that based on the option selected, a communication plan will be in place to keep customers well informed. MD has asked for customers to provide responses

EL stated that her preferred option is 2A and is happy to proceed with this option. This was supported and agreed to by Helen Bevan. IB added that he has fed in to PO his view on which option etc via email.

PO suggested that it might be worth finalising the preferred option as soon as with a unanimous decision being made by Shippers.

MD will be sending out a comm informing customers of DSGs preferred option of 2A allowing customers to engage and provide responses on this going forward and any concerns and issues.

EL stated that from her response submitted, she stated she was happy for those exception to be resolved once Xoserve is happy to proceed.

This was the end of 27th July DSC Delivery Sub Group meeting. Next Meeting: **(Monday 24th August 2020)**

If you have any questions relating to the above meeting minutes, please email uklink@xoserve.com