

Skype Meeting

# **Meeting Minutes**

Industry Attendees		
NAME	ORGANISATION	INITIALS
Ikram Bashir	npower	IB
Helen Bevan	Scottish Power	HB
Steph Podgorski	Generis	SP
Rhys Kealley	British Gas	RK
David Morley	OVO Energy	DM
Eleanor Laurence	EDF	EL
Sean McSweeney	EON Energy	SMc
Patricia Parker	Utiligroup	PP

Xoserve Attendees		
Paul Orsler (Chair)	PO	
Megan Troth	MT	
Simon Burton	ST	
James Barlow	JB	
Michelle Niits	MN	
Ed Healy	EH	
Richard Hadfield	RH	
James Barlow	JB	
Michele Downes	MD	
Phanitha Chalasani	PC	

Slides available here.

# 1. General Meeting Administration

- 1a. Welcome and Introductions
- 1b. Previous DSG Meeting Minutes and Action Updates

Paul Orsler (PO) introduced the meeting and the minutes from the previous meeting were accepted and approved by DSG.

# 2. Changes in Capture

2a. New Change Proposals – Initial Overview of the Change – None for this meeting

2a.i. XRN5181 - Acceptance of Consumption Adjustment where meter removed after meter point set to Dead

JB explained that this is set for MiR Drop 8 and gave an overview of the slides. EL asked whether at the point we are raising the consumption adjustment once the meter has been removed but can be for the period where the meter was there? JB to confirm back to EL. PO confirmed we have identified a potential solution that will not impact customers. Action to confirm with Networks what has been presented today on this change. EL asked how many instances we are seeing a rejection for this scenario? MN confirmed we have seen 10,000 meters with this instance occurring, with around 150 rejecting. RK asked how many valid rejections have we had correctly rejected? We are not treating these consumption adjustments different from any other. EL raised the potential issue of cost vs. issue but sounds positive in principle. PO confirmed there would be no impact on ChMC budget for this change. We are not going to be correcting past occurrences of this and they would need to be re raised. *Action* - JB to confirm the validation rules around Dead meter point and Removed meters.

#### 2b. - None for this meeting

# 2c. Undergoing Solution Options Impact Assessment Review

# 2c.i. – XRN5142 - New Allowable Values for DCC Service Flag in DXI File From DCC

PO confirmed this has gone out in consultation in a change pack. PO explained the purpose of the change and the potential solution options as per the slides. Currently seen as a June 21 candidate. EL confirmed this has been reviewed internally by her organisation and they support in principle but need to align the code requirements

and go live dates. A further detailed response will be given on the back of the recent change pack. PO confirmed we wanted to ensure customers were fully aware of this and if SEC make a decision this will go on June 21. PO to confirm to RK the equivalent electricity change.

# 2d. Solution Options Impact Assessment Review Completed – None for this meeting

- 3. Changes in Detailed Design None for this meeting
  - 3a. Design Considerations None for this meeting
  - 3b. Requirements Clarification None for this meeting

### 4. Major Release Update

#### 4a. June 2020

SB provided an update on the June 20 Release as per the slides. Dave Morley (DM) asked whether there could be a service that provided the same service as Twilio. SB confirmed that it is looking like there is alternative provider if needed. The only benefit of Twilio is that they were the market leader and part of the reason why Xoserve went with them initially. SB confirmed that there is a lessons learnt with this and the data protection aspect of choosing providers for future changes, and establishing the thorough procurement request for tender process and ensuring we are comfortable with where data will be held. DM also asked whether Twilio are using standard contractual clauses for this change. SB to confirm this.

#### 4b. November 2020

EH went through the slides and gave an update on the November 20 Release.

#### 4c. XRN4914 – MOD 0651- Retrospective Data Update Provision

PO gave an update on the Retrospective project. We continue to carry out the deep dive and the output will be shared with the Industry around the end of September. Participating parties will be provided with the low level view also in September. EL asked whether we are close to making a decision of implementing any changes on the back of this. PO confirmed we are not there as of yet due to the time this would take for analysis and impact, therefore we don't have the time to consider 2021, and due to CSS in 2022 this would not be considered either.

#### 5. UK Link Release Update Overview

RH gave an update on the UKL Release Overview as per the slides. PO confirmed we are progressing with 4941, 4992 and 5142, however these will be descoped from the release, at November 2020 ChMC, if they are not approved by SEC by October 25<sup>th</sup> 2020. RH confirmed any changes that come through that impact CSS, we will ensure that all impacts are fully assessed and understood.

# 6. Issue Management

### 6a. AQ Task Force Update

MD gave an update on the AQ Task Force as per the slides within the pack. RK stated that the task force is definitely heading in the right direction, and a big thing for them is the 'no surprises' when it comes to defects. RK also asked if there were any statistics around the amount of defects related to AQ and how long this is going to look to take and the materiality of the impacts. MD explained that until the defect is fixed and data is corrected there is no way to understand the materiality of the impact to customers. Sean McSweeney asked where the defect impacts Class 1 and Class 2, could Shippers place an AQ correction in place to ensure the AQ does not change. MD confirmed this is the case, and the team are closely monitoring Class 1 and Class 2, and it is much more difficult to monitor Class 3 and 4. SM asked whether we have a figure of how many MPRNs are impacted across the industry. MD confirmed the AQ issue register highlights the number of MPRNs. MD also confirmed that the number of MPRNs affected doesn't always necessarily reflect the materiality of the impact, it is normally the level of impact to the AQ that is the biggest impact. IB asked whether we will eventually have a tool used for all defects to assess impact. MD confirmed this will eventually be the case for all impacts. IB asked what the impact will be on invoicing. MD to confirm back to Ikram on this process.

#### 6b. Check to Check Reconciliation Process: Shipper Transfer and Class Change

MD gave an update on the Check to Check Reconciliation Process as per the slides. IB confirmed the approach we are taking is sensible. DSG members happy to progress as is.

# 6c. Adjustment Principles

MD confirmed these slides have been shared with everybody and gave a brief overview of the slides. MD also went through the high level plan and next steps. No concerns from DSG on this. PO explained that as this is a DSC service, CoMC will be the main forum for the discussions on this and concerns are best raised within there.

### 6c.i. Adjustment Principles – Methodology

Link to the methodology document is located within the deck. MD gave a brief overview.

This was the end of 24<sup>th</sup> August 2020 DSC Delivery Sub Group meeting. Next Meeting: **(Monday 28<sup>th</sup> September 2020)** 

If you have any questions relating to the above meeting minutes, please email <a href="mailto:uklink@xoserve.com">uklink@xoserve.com</a>