Section C: DSG Discussion

C1: Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations

(To be removed if no DSG Discussion is required; Xoserve to collate where DSG discussions occur)

DSG Date:	23/08/2021
	PO presented the slides and introduced the change. PO also stated that this is currently out for solution consultation and customers can provide their view via the change pack process. PO gave an overview of the single solution option that has been provided which is detailed in the slide deck. Helen Bevan asked which classes this option related too, PO confirmed this just relates to Class 1 and 2 sites, and where these Biomethane injections can only currently use Class 1 and 2 sites. EL asked, as this is similar to the HyDeploy Trial, and in that trial
DSG Summary:	EL asked, as this is similar to the HyDeploy Trial, and in that trial there was no consideration to support different calorific values, and this was dealt with through voluntary payments, therefore why is this change being carried out as a trial process to support site specific calorific values, rather than using a similar process to what HyDeploy is using. PO stated that the difference with HyDeploy was that there was several hundred meter points on the HyDeploy trial, most of which are domestic properties (Class 3 and 4) with different meter reading history arrangements, and HyDeploy trial is a committed amount of time running for 12 months, at the end of which the sites will no longer receive hydrogen and will move back to natural gas, which is why the AQ backstops were put in place to protect the AQ from becoming inflated, however with the biomethane sites (and as the increase of renewable energy appetite grows), we are looking at a more enduring solution that can support these pilots over the next few years. PO also explained that several of the candidate sites on the Biomethane trial have been live for a while and if the Networks were in a position to remove the obligation on enriching the gas with propane, as long as the energy source is sustainable, there wouldn't necessarily be a need to revert back to the legacy arrangements, therefore things such as voluntary compensation payments become less palatable over time as this change hopes to ensure customers are charged accurately first time. EL asked how many sites there are in this category – PO explained that he cannot give too much away with regards to commercial sites, however there are acouple of sites where biomethane is a by-product of a consumption site, and is feeding a handful of downstream meter points that are Classes 1 and 2, therefore there doesn't seem to be a large amount, as the biomethane isn't directly feeding the wider network, therefore supports site specific CVs in their energy calculations
	for billing, and it doesn't mention much in the change pack

	regarding the impact to Shippers and Suppliers and how the file transfers will work for billing for these sites. EL also added that they assume the site specific CVs will be document in the SC9 file as this file continues to be used as normal, however this is a big assumption. PO stated this wouldn't specifically be the case as the SC9 file is used for LDZ Flow-weighted average CVs, and for several reasons several types of sites would not be included in the flow-weighted average calculations. PO stated that EL point is valid, and that there is an engagement piece to be done for these types of energy going into the network and being supplier to end consumers, and we are currently at the discovery stage for this and it is to be further understood. EL reinstated that their key point is the impacts to Shippers and Suppliers needs to be considered and clearly communicated, as it is currently missing from the Change Pack. No recommendation given as customers were encouraged to respond via the Solution Option change pack.				
Capture Document / Requirements:	<insert appropriate="" where=""></insert>				
DSG Recommendation:		□ Reject	□ Defer		
DSG Recommended Release:	Release: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY				

DSG Date:	23/05/2022		
DSG Summary:	 Michelle Niits (MN) presented slides 23-29 of the DSG presentation to give a brief overview of the background of the change and clarified key points that customers should consider when impact assessing the Detailed Design Change Pack. These included; This solution can be used by other biomethane plants that supply energy to Supply Meter Points in Class 1 and Class 2. This is not suitable for Class 3 or Class 4. The first biomethane plant to use this solution is Girvan which is within Scotland's Local Distribution Zone. A CV for Girvan will be provided by SGN daily which will need to be used by CDSP, Shippers and Suppliers when calculating energy. Networks are asked to consider whether validation on the CV range of 36-43 is sufficient for any future biomethane plants using this solution. If no comments are received the range of 36-43 will be used. Shippers are asked to consider if they require the CV when they are not the registered Shipper of an impacted Supply Meter Point. If no comments are received the CV will be issued to every Shipper. Shippers are asked to consider if their Suppliers require a different method of receiving the CV. If no comments are received the CV will be issued to CV will be issued to Shippers via the new file 		

	and they will need to share this with their Suppliers.				
	PO and MN requested input from customers around the points that were made on slides 28 and 29 respectively within the DSG presentation. No comments were received. Customers were reminded that the Detailed Design Change Pack is out for consultation until Monday 30th May, and PO clarified Xoserve welcomes any questions or comments that customers may have.				
Capture Document / Requirements:	<insert appropriate="" where=""></insert>				
DSG Recommendation:	□ Approve	□ Reject	□ Defer		
DSG Recommended Release:	Release: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY				