# **DSC Change Proposal Document**

Customers to fill out all of the information in the sections coloured Xoserve to fill out all of the information in the sections coloured

#### **A1: General Details**

Change Reference:	XRN 5186				
Change Title:	Modification 0701: Aligning Capacity booking under the UNC and arrangements set out in relevant NExAs				
Date Raised:	27/05/2020				
	Organisation :	Northern Gas			
Sponsor Representative	Name:	Tracey	Tracey Saunders		
Details:	Email:	trsaunders@northerngas.co.uk			
	Telephone:	01133975471			
	Name:	Ellie Rogers			
Xoserve	Email:	ellie.rogers@xoserve.com			
Representative Details:	Telephone:	0121 292 185			
	Business Owner:	TBC			
Change Statue	☐ Proposal		☐ With DSG	☐ Out for Review	
Change Status:	□ Voting		□ Approved	□ Rejected	

### **A2: Impacted Parties**

	⊠ Shipper	☑ Distribution Network Operator	
Customer Class(es):	☐ NG Transmission	□IGT	
	□ All	☐ Other <please details="" here="" provide=""></please>	
Justification for Customer Class(es) selection	Network Exit Agreement wi are not party to the contrac NExA in place through the	he consumer enters into a bilateral th and therefore are impacted. Shippers to but can see within DES where there is Network Exit Agreement Indicator, plus he system which will impact their NExA	

## A3: Proposer Requirements / Final (redlined) Change

	There is no process to ensure that the Supply Point Capacity (Often referred to as "SOQ") and Supply Point Offtake Rate (often referred
Problem Statement:	to as "SHQ") allowed in a NExA (which is a contract between the site operator and the Transporter) and that allowed by the UNC
	(which is contract between the Relevant Shipper and the relevant Transporter) are aligned. This can result in discrepancies where the

Shipper books more capacity on the System than the customer is allowed to use in accordance with the NExA. Modification 0701 has been raised and seeks to improve visibility where a consumer has entered into a bi-lateral Network Exit Agreement (NExA) with the relevant Transporter and to link capacity increases with the NExA so that the allowed capacity does not exceed the capacity as agreed in the NExA. This Change Proposal has been raised to deliver the system requirements set out within this Modification. Please note Modification 0701 is currently with Ofgem for a final decision on whether it should be implemented. In summary, please see at high level what is required by the CDSP to deliver the Modification solution: Enhance what is currently held in UK Link and Data Enquiry Service against NExA sites to include: a. NExA SOQ b. NExA SHQ c. Maintain a history of NExA effective to and from date (inclusive of future dates) For every NExA site, a check that the current PMSOQ is lower than or equal to the NExA SOQ value is required and correct the PMSOQ for any NExA sites which have a greater PMSOQ Change Description: than the provided NExA SOQ value. To correct it, the PMSOQ at the NExA SOQ The PMSOQ for Class 1 and 2 sites will not be able to exceed the Supply Point Capacity (SOQ) quoted in the NExA. The PMSOQ should be capped at the NExA SOQ, (it should not be able to increase above the NExA SOQ) For Class 1 and 2 sites, any requests to change existing System capacity made by the Shipper shall not, where a relevant NExA exists, exceed the DM SOQ and the SHQ set out in the NExA. a. For any Product Class which has a valid NExA in place (which is recorded in UK Link) and where a Shipper requests a change to existing Capacity, this must always be subject to the Supply Point Nomination referral and sent to the relevant Transporter. b. Where the Transporter approves the Capacity request at Nomination referral, when the Nomination is Confirmed by the Shipper (can be up to 6 months from the Nomination acceptance), the CDSP must validate the date in the Confirmation for the Capacity request against the NExA information to accept or reject. If the effective of the

	Confirmation is not within the effective date set out within the NExA, the Confirmation will be rejected			
	<ul> <li>For a Class 1 and 2 Supply Points the System Capacity shall not ratchet above the daily offtake rate set out in the NExA.         <ul> <li>a. If a site with a relevant NExA ratchets above the NExA SOQ, the booked SOQ should not increase to the ratchetted value (above the NExA SOQ) but the ratchet charge should still be applied.</li> </ul> </li> <li>For Class 3 and 4 Supply Points: the relevant Transporter should be notified of any SOQ changes as part of Rolling AQ which come within a defined % of the SOQ set out in the NExA.</li> </ul>			
Proposed Release:	Release is TBC however we expect this to be a Major Release.			
Proposed	☐ 10 Working Days	☐ 15 Working Days		
Consultation Period:	☐ 20 Working Days	☐ Other [Specify Here]		

#### **A4: Benefits and Justification**

Benefit Description:	To improve visibility where a consumer has entered into a bi-lateral Network Exit Agreement (NExA) with the relevant Transporter, and to link capacity increases with the NExA so that the allowed capacity does not exceed the capacity as agreed in the NExA.  What, if any, are the tangible benefits of introducing this change? What, if any, are the intangible benefits of introducing this change?
Benefit Realisation:	Upon implementation  When are the benefits of the change likely to be realised?
Benefit Dependencies:	The benefit is dependent on Modification 0701 being approved for the CDSP to deliver this change.  Also, worth noting, not a dependency as such, but there is a Change Proposal (XRN5146) that is seeking to cleanse all NExA information on both the Data Enquiry Service (DES) and UK Link systems prior to this change being implemented, to ensure all data currently held on these systems is accurate and up to date. XRN5146 supports this change.  Please detail any dependencies that would be outside the scope of the change, this could be reliance on another delivery, reliance on some other event that the projects has not got direct control of.

# A5: Final Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations – Removed

(see Section C for DSG recommendations)

#### **A6: Service Lines and Funding**

Service Line(s) Impacted - New or existing	Service Area 11: NExA Supply Meter Points It is expected that new Service Lines under Service Area 11 will be required as part of this change.			
Level of Impact	Minor			
If None please give justification	N/A			
Impacts on UK Link Manual/ Data Permissions Matrix	The Data Permissions Matrix would need to be updated to reflect the additional data items for NExA sites which are proposed to be added to DES.			
Level of Impact	Minor/			
If None please give justification	N/A			
	Customer Classes/ Funding	Delivery of Change	On-going Budget Amendment	
	⊠ Shipper	XX %	XX %	
Funding Classes	☐ National Grid Transmission	XX %	XX %	
·	□ Distribution Network Operator	XX %	XX %	
	□IGT	XX %	XX %	
	☐ Other <please specify=""></please>	XX %	XX %	
ROM or funding details:	ROM Response XRN5094 - Modificati  A ROM was produced during the Modification development which indicated that an enduring solution for the UK Link changes will cost at least £66,000, but probably not more than £115,500 to implement.  • In relation to the DDP change specifically, (requirement 8), an enduring solution will cost at least £18,000 but probably not more than £35,000 to implement.  • Note, the DDP cost is not included in the UK Link cost			
Funding Comments:	Service Area 11 is 100% DN funded which is reflected in the funding class for this change.			

#### A7: ChMC Recommendation – Initial Review

Change Status:		□ Reject		□ Defer
DSC Consultation Issue:	□ Yes		⊠ No	

# A8: ChMC Recommendation - Solution Review

Change Status:			□ Defer		
Industry	☑ 10 Working Days	Working Days □		□ 15 Working Days	
Consultation:	☐ 20 Working Days		□ Other	☐ Other [Specify Here]	
DSC Consultation Issue:	⊠ Yes		□ No	□ No	
Date Issued:	16/08/2021				
Comms Ref(s):	2878.4 - MT - PO				
Number of Responses:	1 approval representation				
	⊠ Shipper		App	orove	
Colution Votings	☑ National Grid Transmission		App	orove	
Solution Voting:	☐ Distribution Network Operator		Ple	Please select.	
	□IGT		Ple	Please select.	
Meeting Date:	08/09/2021				
Release Date:	Release: Post CSSC implementation date, proposed November 22				

# **A9: ChMC Recommendation – Detailed Design**

Change Status:	☐ Approve	□ Reject		□ Defer		
Industry	☐ 10 Working Days		☐ 15 Working Days			
Consultation:	☐ 20 Working Days		☐ Other [Specify Here]			
DSC Consultation Issue:	□ Yes		□ No			
Date Issued:	Click here to enter a date.					
Comms Ref(s):						
Number of Responses:						
	□ Shipper		□ Shipper		Plea	ise select.
Solution Voting:	☐ National Grid Transmission		Please select.			
	☐ Distribution Network Operator		Please select.			
	□IGT		Please select.			
Meeting Date:	Click here to enter a date.					
Release Date:	Release: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY or NA					