

DSC Change Proposal

Change Reference Number: XRN4790

Customers to fill out all of the information in this colour

Xoserve to fill out all of the information in this colour

Section A1: General Details		
Change Title	Introduction of winter read/consumption reports and associated	
	obligation (MOD0652)	
Date Raised	8 th October	
Sponsor Organisation	npower	
Sponsor Name	John Welch	
Sponsor Contact Details	John.Welch@npower.co.uk	
Xoserve Contact Name	Emma Smith	
Xoserve Contact Details	Emma.Smith@Xoserve.com	
Change Status	Proposal / With DSG / Out for review / Voting / Approved or	
	Rejected	
Section A2: Impacted Parties		
Customer Class(es)	⊠ Shipper	
	National Grid Transmission	
	Distribution Network Operator	
	🗆 IGT	
Section A3: Proposer Requirements / Final (redlined) Change		

The change seeks to introduce a number of industry reports, to be sent to users and the performance assurance committee (PAC) to provide visibility and support to the winter consumption process that is linked to the production of accurate WAR bands for supply points in EUCs 03 – 08. These reports would highlight to users when a read has not been submitted in either of the relevant windows, allowing the user to take action and submit a read in the following month. Furthermore, reports for the Performance Assurance Committee would also highlight when reads were not successfully submitted, with an additional obligation being placed on users to undertake a data update to allow the winter annual ratio to be calculated.

Please refer to MOD for details on reports requirements, and timeline during the gas year:

https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/ggf/book/2018-09/Modification%200652%20v5.0.pdf

Proposed Release	As soon as pos	sible – not expected to require a major release.
(Feb/Jun/Nov/Minor)	_	
Proposed Consultation Period	🗆 10 Working D	Days
	🗆 20 Working D	Days
	🗆 30 Working d	lays
	Other:	
Section A4: Benefits and Justification		
Benefit Description Aim to reduce the number of meter points in		Aim to reduce the number of meter points in the
What, if any, are the tangible benefits of introd	0	default war band by providing greater visibility
What, if any, are the intangible benefits of intr	oducing this	on those meter points that do not have a winter
change?		consumption calculated. Greater number of
		meter in the correct war bands should increase
		the accuracy of the demand estimation
		algorithm, which leads to increased levels of

X Serve

		temporary UIG.	
Benefit Realisation When are the benefits of the change likely	to be realised?	Gas Year 2019-20	
Benefit Dependencies Please detail any dependencies that would be outside the scope of the change, this could be reliance on another delivery, reliance on some other event that the projects has not got direct control of.		Submission and acceptance of meter reads during the winter months in order to reduce meter points in the default war band.	
Section A5: Final Delivery Sub-0	Group (DSG) Reco	mmendations	
Until a final decision is achieved, please rea	fer to section C of the fo	orm.	
Final DSG Recommendation	Approve / Reje	ct / Defer	
DSG Recommended Release	Release X: Feb	/Jun/Nov XX or Adhoc DD	/MM/YYYY
Section A6: Funding			
Funding Classes	🛛 Shipper		100%
	National G	rid Transmission	XX%
	Distribution	Network Operator	XX%

Final DSG Recommendation	Approve / Reject / Deter		
DSG Recommended Release	Release X: Feb/Jun/Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY		
Section A6: Funding			
Funding Classes	Shipper 100%		
	National Grid Transmission XX%		
	Distribution Network Operator XX%		
	\Box IGT XX%		
Service Line(s)	Service Area 3: Record, submit data in compliance with UNC		
	(DS-NCS SA03-01)		
	Service Area 6 Annual Quantity, DM supply point capacity,		
	commodity, reconciliation, ad-hoc adjustment and balancing invoices		
ROM or funding details			
Funding Comments	Further analysis confirms this is service area 6 which is 100%		
	shipper funded, though this is a zero costed change.		
Section A7: ChMC Recommendati	on		
Change Status	☑ Approve – Issue to DSG		
	Defer – Issue for review		
	Reject		
	At the ChMC meeting on 7th November, this change approved to go		
	to DSG for solution development work.		
Industry Consultation	10 Working Days		
	20 Working Days		
	□ 30 Working days		
	Other:		
Expected date of receipt for	XX/XX/XXXX		
responses (to Xoserve)	///////////////////////////////////////		
DSC Consultation			
Issued	⊠ Yes		
100404	□ No		
Date Issued	15/02/2019		
Comms Ref(s)	2234.7 – RJ – ES		
Number of Responses	4 (approvals)		
Section A8: DSC Voting Outcome			
Solution Voting	Shipper Approve		
	National Grid Transmission NA		
	Distribution Network Operator NA		
	□ IGT NA		
Meeting Date	12/12/2018 / 13/03/2019		
Release Date	April 2019 - adhoc		
Overall Outcome	12/12/2018 - Xoserve presented the solution options and the Change		
	Proposal to ChMC for discussion only. Xoserve requested Shipper		
	voting members to approve the release of the first report required (no		
	read received in November) in an aim to get the maximum benefit		

from the change. The remainder of the reports will continue to be developed with DSG and PAC. Shipper User approved this approach.
13/03/2019 - ChMC approved the solution option and release date (see section F)

Please send the completed forms to: <u>box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com</u>

Section C: DSC Change Proposal: DSG

	up (DSG) Recommendations	
DSG Date	19/11/18	
DSG Summary		
LW presented the Change Proposal to DSG. LW explained that this Change Proposal was approved at the ChMC meeting in November to proceed to DSG. The Change Proposal was raised by Npower. LW provided an overview of the requirements specified in the Change Proposal; its purpose is about producing reporting information on read performance for the winter period.		
LW asked DSG to con the development of so	nsider the requirements based in the Change Proposal to support plution options.	
	upport Xoserve with the development of requirements for XRN4790 read consumption reports and associated obligation).	
SC wanted to know of how the reports are sent out at the moment. Simon Harris (SH) stated that all kinds of reports are sent out in relation to the subject associated with this new Change Proposal.		
LW stated that Xoserve would like to have an integrated, self-service tool for customers to use to generate their own reports, but LW wanted to know what customers required, but observed that customers seem to have multiple and varied requirements for reporting information.		
Claire Louise Roberts (CLR) wanted to know the difference between Birst and Huddle. SH explained that Huddle is a platform used for downloading information, similar to SharePoint. Birst is an application which provides visual representation of statistical information and is dynamic and tailorable to the user's inputs. Richard Johnson (RJ) talked DSG through Appendix One, which indicates that this Change Proposal has a prioritisation score of 40%.		
LW asked DSG if they had any further questions; DSG raised no further questions		
Capture Document /		
Requirements	N/A	
DSG Recommendation	N/A	
DSG Recommended	N/A	
Release		

Discussion

XXServe

Section C2: Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations			
DSG Date	DSG Date 03/12/18		
DSG Summary			
 ES presented slides 47 to 50 to this change. This change will resains for this agenda item on slid Agree the data items that mechanisms. Assess proposal to issue ES stated that one of the reports December ChMC meeting. The ES mentioned the purpose of represented like to see any additional data ite explained that report 1 would be where no read was provided in MES asked if Xoserve should delive there could be Meter Point sites ranges. ES and LW concluded the Action 1123: Regarding agenda associated obligation), DSG to c The conversation turned to the performance of the purpose intelligent there different delivery mechanistic delivery mechanistic associated out and the second to the performance of the provided in the second be set of the conversation turned to the performance of the conversation turned to the performance of the conversation turned to the performance of the second be and the second be second to the performance of the conversation turned to the performance of the conversation turned to the performance of the second be second be a second to the performance of the second be second be second to the performance of the second be second be second to the performance of the second be second be become to the performance of the second be second be become to the performance of the second be become to the performance of the second be become to the performance of the second become to the performa	at will be provided in the Shipper User reports (not PAC) and delivery e one of the reports in December a (report 1) can be delivered in December, pending approval at the reports will support the recipients with correct assignment of WAR bands. port 1 (supply points for which a read was not submitted in November each d the data items to be included in the report, and asked DSG if they would ems in the report. SC said that she will provide an email response. ES issued in December every year and then in the subsequent April, only March. ver report 1 as an extract, or a complete fresh report. ES did consider that below the threshold, and there would be other sites in the EUC 3 to 8 hat a change of EUC should be added to the report. item 13a. XRN4790 (Introduction of winter read consumption reports and onsider any additional Data Items they would like to see in the reports. obtential delivery mechanism for the reports (slide 49). ES presented the sm options: gence portal (new external portal – logon required with download capability)		
Capture Document / Requirements	N/A		
DSG Recommendation	N/A		
DSG Recommended Release	N/A		

XX>serve

Section C3: Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations		
DSG Date	17/12/2018	
DSG Summary		
LW presented and stated that this change was raised by Npower, supporting MOD0652. LW explained that although the MOD wasn't implemented, the UIG Taskforce was already developing War Band Reports. There are 8 reports in the change; the first report has been sent out to tell Shippers where they haven't procured a read in November. The War band needs a read to be procured in December before the next 2 reads in the New Year. If you don't procure the read in December it will defer to the following year. LW confirmed that we will keep DSG updated with solutions.		
Capture Document / Requirements	N/A	
DSG Recommendation	N/A	
DSG Recommended Release	N/A	

Section C4: Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations		
DSG Date	04/02/2019	
DSG Summary		
ES presented this agenda item to DSG, and started off by providing a summary of the change: MOD sets the obligation to provide the reads and submit amended Winter Consumption where one has failed to calculate. This is expected to be a zero cost change as UIG resources are building the reports. The reports are expected to deliver via business intelligence service but can also be distributed via email. Within an excel document on slide 57, ES presented the requirements for each of the reports. DSG provided no comments and therefore seem to be in support of the requirements for each report. ES intends to include this change within a Solution Review Change Pack in February. The change is		
Capture Document /	N/A	
Requirements	IN/A	
DSG Recommendation	N/A	
DSG Recommended Release	N/A	

Section C5: Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations		
DSG Date	17/12/2018	
DSG Summary		
ES presented this agenda item to DSG, and started off by providing a summary of the issue identified: MOD sets the obligation to provide the reads and submit amended Winter Consumption where one has failed to calculate. ES stated that there has been some analysis and update and went through the Winter consumption Calculation on slides 111 & 112.		
<i>The j</i> ob is scheduled to run in mid-May, however the read window for class 4 reads is 25 business days and therefore some reads may miss the winter consumption calculation process. Therefore UNC Actual Reads submitted with a read date on or before 30 th April but submitted after the winter consumption calculation job has commenced will not be considered. Slide 115 states the considerations raised at ChMC and ChMC stated to continue with May and produce reports then decide if a new CP needs to be raised for 2020. In summary, to continue as is and look at the numbers impacted.		
SC asked if there's any other work arounds that can be considered. ES stated that there have been no work arounds so far, however there will be plenty of points along the way to amend the volume as there is a lot of reporting coming out for this change. The MOD has a timeline of reports. Action 19 – 0217 Create a timeline with reports for XRN4790 This was the end of February 18 th DSC Delivery Sub Group meeting. Next Meeting: 04 th March 2019 If you have any questions relating to the above meeting minutes, please email uklink@xoserve.com		
Capture Document /	N/A	
Requirements		
DSG Recommendation	N/A	
DSG Recommended	N/A	

Section C3: Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations DSG Date 01/07/2019

DSG Summary

Paul Orsler (PO) explained this Change has been bought to DSG before and gave an overview of the Change. PO asked If DSG would approve Xoserve to move the timescale of the winter read consumption as the way in which the winter calculation takes place, it was cutting off Class 4 reads that were still within the read provision window but were not considered due to the timing of the May reports leading to them being cut off. PO asked if DSG would want to see Xoserve to amend the timing of the winter calculation period to run later than May to ensure all Class 4 reads could be included, or if we stick to the current timing and be accepting of some of the Class 4 sites falling out of the inclusion of the reports. PO stated some analysis has been done and found that potentially 14,000 sites in Class 4 could have been included if the period was extended by a month. PO asked DSG if they have any views on Xoserve raising a Change to have this amended. IB confirmed he would have to take this away and discuss this with his organisation. PO added for DSG members to note that if Xoserve was to move the schedule forward it would be around June. PO asked DSG for any views. IB stated he would need to take this back to his organisation for discussion.

Action: DSG members to provide a view on Xoserve raising a Change to amend the timescale of the winter read consumption.

Capture Document /	N/A
Requirements	N/A
DSG Recommendation	N/A
DSG Recommended	N/A
Release	IN/A

X Serve

Section D: DSC Change Proposal High Level Solution Options

Section D1: Solution Options High Level summary options

Aim: Shipper Users to review the reporting data items to be issued to support each Shipper User report (reports 1, 3, 7) and confirm if any additional data items would be required.

Solution Option One (as providing data and set requirements are set out in MOD652) there is only one solution option with two delivery mechanisms):

Provide a selection of reports to the Registered User and add reporting to the Performance Assurance Report Register (PARR) schedule for the Performance Assurance Committee (PAC) to provide information around the submission of reads to generate a Winter Consumption Calculation. Where reads were not available, submission of Winter Consumption Correction, in order that a Supply Meter Point in EUC03-09 has a calculated or corrected Winter Consumption Value each year and is not assigned a default War Band.

Xoserve has already issued a report in December 2018 for 'no read in November' and aims to issue out the 'no read in March' report in April to improve the performance for 2018/19 Winter Consumption recalculations.

Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) recommends the reports are issued via a new business intelligence service; do reviewers support this delivery mechanism? (the rollout of business intelligence service is being managed via XRN4833) Xoserve will also maintain the capability to email the data to Users.

This is a zero costed change.

The attached document details the data items that will be supplied in each report.



XRN4790 Winter Consumption reportin

Implementation date for this solution option	April 2019
Xoserve preferred option; including rationale	Option One

X Serve

DSG preferred solution option, including rationale	Option One
Consultation close out date	1 st March

XX>serve

Section E: DSC Change Proposal: Industry Response Solution Options Review

User Name	Lorna Lewin		
User Contact Details	lolew@orsted.co.uk 0207 451 1974		
Section E1: Organisation's preferred solution option, including rationale taking into account costs,			
risks, resource etc.			
We support Xoserve & DSG's recommended option.			
Implementation date for		-	
Implementation date for Xoserve preferred solu	or this option	Approve Approve	
Implementation date fo Xoserve preferred solu DSG preferred solution	or this option Ition option	Approve	
Xoserve preferred solu	or this option Ition option	Approve Approve	

User Name	Kirsty Dudley
User Contact Details	Kirsty.Dudley@eonenergy.com
Section E2: Organisati	ion's preferred solution option, including rationale taking into account costs,
risks, resource etc.	

We are happy to support this change.

We request that any Shipper reports are issued with the Shipper Packs, even as a separate attachment rather than stand alone.

Implementation date for this option	Approve
Xoserve preferred solution option	Approve
DSG preferred solution option	Approve
Publication of consultation response	Publish
Section E2: Xoserve's Response to	
Organisations Comments	Thank you for your comments.

X Serve

User Name	Amie Charalambous		
User Contact Details	07917271763		
Section E3: Organisati	on's preferred solution	on option, including rationale taking into account costs,	
risks, resource etc.			
As the proposer, we are fully supportive of this change. We believe that All shippers would benefit from having a greater insight as to how their initial exposure to UiG will evolve via amendment. As such, it should be seen as a priority alongside other root cause solutions to UiG, as promoted by the relevant UiG workgroups / DSC / UNC Committees. We also feel that a minor release would be sufficient and preferable – there is no functional change required for shippers.			
	Implementation date for this option Approve		
	Xoserve preferred solution option Approve		
	DSG preferred solution option Approve		
Publication of consulta	Publication of consultation response Publish		
Section E3: Xoserve's Response to			
Organisations Comme	mments Thank you for your comments.		

User Name	Megan Coventry, Southern Electric Gas Limited, SSE Energy Supply Limited		
User Contact Details	Megan.coventry@sse.com, 02392277738		
Section E4: Organisation	on's preferred solution	on option, including rationale taking into account costs,	
risks, resource etc.			
We agree with solution o	ption 1.		
_	-		
Implementation date for this option Approve			
Xoserve preferred solu	d solution option Approve		
DSG preferred solution	preferred solution option Approve		
Publication of consultation response Publish			
Section E4: Xoserve's Response to			
Organisations Comments Thank you for your comments.			

X Serve

Section F: DSC Change Proposal: Approved Solution Option

Section F1: Solution Option for XRN4790

Solution Option One (as providing data and set requirements are set out in MOD652) there is only one solution option with two delivery mechanisms):

Provide a selection of reports to the Registered User and add reporting to the Performance Assurance Report Register (PARR) schedule for the Performance Assurance Committee (PAC) to provide information around the submission of reads to generate a Winter Consumption Calculation. Where reads were not available, submission of Winter Consumption Correction, in order that a Supply Meter Point in EUC03-09 has a calculated or corrected Winter Consumption Value each year and is not assigned a default War Band.

Implementation date	Adhoc – April 2019	
Approved by	Change Management Committee	
Date of approval	13/03/2019	

XXServe



Appendix 1

Change Prioritisation Variables

Xoserve uses the following variables set for each and every change within the Xoserve Change Register, to derive the indicative benefit prioritisation score, which will be used in conjunction with the perceived delivery effort to aid conversations at the DSC ChMC and DSC Delivery Sub Groups to prioritise changes into all future minor and major releases.

Change Driver Type	CMA Order MOD / Ofgem			
	EU Legislation License Condition			
	□ BEIS □ ChMC endorsed Change Proposal			
	□ SPAA Change Proposal □ Additional or 3 rd Party Service Request			
	Other (please provide details below)			
Please select the customer	Shipper Impact			
group(s) who would be impacted	□Xoserve Impact □National Grid Transmission Impact			
if the change is not delivered				
Associated Change reference Number(s)	N/A			
Associated MOD Number(s)	0652			
Perceived delivery effort	⊠ 0 – 30 □ 30 – 60			
	□ 60 – 100 □ 100+ days			
Does the project involve the	□ Yes (If yes please answer the next question)			
processing of personal data?	🖾 No			
'Any information relating to an identifiable person who can be directly or indirectly				
identified in particular by reference to an				
identifier' – includes MPRNS.				
A Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA) will be	□ New technology □ Vulnerable customer data □ Theft of Gas			
required if the delivery of the	□ Mass data □ Xoserve employee data			
change involves the processing of	□ Fundamental changes to Xoserve business			
personal data in any of the	Other (please provide details below)			
following scenarios:	(If any of the above boxes have been selected then please contact The Data Protection			
	Officer (Sally Hall) to complete the DPIA.			
Change Beneficiary	□ Multiple Market Participants □ Multiple Market Group			
How many market participant or segments stand to benefit from the introduction of the	□ All industry UK Gas Market participants □ Xoserve Only			
change?	☑ One Market Group □ One Market Participant			
Primary Impacted DSC Service	Service Area 18: Provision of User Reports and Information			
Area				
Number of Service Areas Impacted	□ All □ Five to Twenty □ Two to Five			
Change Improvement Scale? How much work would be reduced for the	🗆 High 🛛 Medium 🗆 Low			
customer if the change is implemented?				
Are any of the	following at risk if the change is not delivered?			
	\Box Customer(s) incurring financial loss \Box Customer Switching at risk			
Are any of the	e following required if the change is delivered?			
□ Customer System Changes Require	ed 🗌 Customer Testing Likely Required 🛛 Customer Training Required			
	own Impact to Systems / Processes			
Primary Application impacted	⊠BW □ ISU □ CMS			
	□ AMT □ EFT □ IX			

X Serve

	Gemini Birst Other (please provide details below)
Business Process Impact	
	□Reads □Portal □Invoicing
	Other (please provide details below)
	Business Reporting in the impacted business area
Are there any known impacts to	Yes (please provide details below)
external services and/or systems	🖾 No
as a result of delivery of this	
change? Please select customer group(s)	
who would be impacted if the	\boxtimes Shipper impact \square Network impact \square iGT impact
change is not delivered.	□ Xoserve impact □ National Grid Transmission Impact
	Vorkaround currently in operation?
Is there a Workaround in	
operation?	🖾 No
If yes who is accountable for the	
workaround?	External Customer
	Both Xoserve and External Customer
What is the Frequency of the	
workaround?	
What is the lifespan for the workaround?	
What is the number of resource	
effort hours required to service	
workaround?	
What is the Complexity of the workaround?	Low (easy, repetitive, quick task, very little risk of human error)
	Medium (moderate difficult, requires some form of offline calculation, possible risk of human error in determining outcome)
	human error in determining outcome) High (complicate task, time consuming, requires specialist resources, high risk of
	human error in determining outcome)
Change Prioritisation Score	40%

Document Version History

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Summary of Changes
0.1	For Approval	19/10/18	Emma Smith	N/A
0.2	For Approval	14/11/18	Heather Spensley	Appendix added
2.0	With DSG	23/11/18	Rachel Taggart	Notes from DSG
3.0	With DSG	10/12/18	Richard Johnson	Notes from DSG
4.0	With DSG	14/12/18	Richard Johnson	Notes from ChMC on 12th December added
5.0	With DSG	27/12/18	Richard Johnson	Notes from DSG on 17 th December added
6.0	With DSG	11/02/19	Richard Johnson	Notes from DSG on 4th February added
7.0	Out for review (solution)	15/02/19	Richard Johnson	Sent out for Solution Review on 15 th February
8.0	Out for review (solution)	26/02/19	Charan Singh	Notes from DSG on 18 th February 2019
9.0	Out for review (solution)	04/03/19	Richard Johnson	Reps added

XXServe

10	Approved	15/03/19	Richard	Outcome from ChMC on 13 th March
			Johnson	added.
11	Approved	09/07/2019	Richard	Updated with notes from DSG on 1 st
			Johnson	July.

Template Version History

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Summary of Changes
3.0	Approved	17/07/18	Emma Smith	Template approved at ChMC on 11 th July
4.0	Approved	07/09/18	Emma Smith	Minor wording amendments and additional customer group impact within Appendix 1

XXServe

