

Xoserve DSC Change Proposal



Change Reference Number: **XRN4453.1**

Customers to fill out all of the information in this colour ■

Xoserve to fill out all of the information in this colour ■

| | |
|--|--|
| Section A1: General Details | |
| Change Title | Amendment to JOB / UPD Hierarchies to show 'Irrelevant Datasets' - Confirmation of File Format Changes and clarification on Version Control |
| Date Raised | 07/01/2019 |
| Sponsor Organisation | |
| Sponsor Name | |
| Sponsor Contact Details | |
| Xoserve Contact Name | Dave Addison |
| Xoserve Contact Details | David.addison@xoserve.com / 0121 229 2138 |
| Change Status | Proposal / With DSG / Out for review / Voting / Approved or Rejected Implemented |
| Section A2: Impacted Parties | |
| Customer Class(es) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Shipper <input type="checkbox"/> National Grid Transmission <input type="checkbox"/> Distribution Network Operator <input type="checkbox"/> IGT |
| Section A3: Proposer Requirements / Final (redlined) Change | |
| <p>Before Project Nexus was implemented, the file formats for UPD were identified as containing an Irrelevant ADDRDS Dataset. It has also been highlighted that the MKPNT Dataset is also irrelevant as this dataset was only used to denote the provision of a Gas Transporter provided Data logger asset. This information is not recorded following separation of Daily Metered Service Provider.</p> <p>The principle of 'Irrelevant Datasets' were established in the RGMA Implementation in July 2004, as were the conventions used by the Gas Transporters with respect to the UK Link formats contained within the UK Link Manual. This principle has been retained in contemporary versions of the RGMA application in the UK Link system.</p> <p>In brief, where an irrelevant dataset is provided to the Central Data Service Provider, provided that this irrelevant dataset position adheres to the RGMA (ONJOB/ONUPD) hierarchy and the dataset adheres to the structure and domain rules, the irrelevant dataset will be removed before processing by UK Link. If either rule fails the transaction will be rejected with RESPN rejection.</p> <p>Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) was presented with options regarding the presentation of file formats, and these were subsequently issued in Change Pack 1946.8 – LE – ES - XRN4453 – Confirmation of File Format Changes and clarification on Version Control. Representation responses were split, but the DSG approved the version of the hierarchies that provide the visibility of the irrelevant datasets.</p> | |
| Proposed Release (Feb/Jun/Nov/Minor) | February 2019 Release / DD/MM/YYYY |
| Proposed Consultation Period | <input type="checkbox"/> 10 Working Days <input type="checkbox"/> 20 Working Days <input type="checkbox"/> 30 Working days Other: |

| | |
|---|---|
| | |
| Section A4: Benefits and Justification | |
| Benefit Description <i>What, if any, are the tangible benefits of introducing this change?</i> <i>What, if any, are the intangible benefits of introducing this change?</i> | |
| Benefit Realisation <i>When are the benefits of the change likely to be realised?</i> | |
| Benefit Dependencies <i>Please detail any dependencies that would be outside the scope of the change, this could be reliance on another delivery, reliance on some other event that the projects has not got direct control of.</i> | |
| Section A5: Final Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations | |
| <i>Until a final decision is achieved, please refer to section C of the form.</i> | |
| Final DSG Recommendation | Approve / Reject / Defer |
| DSG Recommended Release | Release X: Feb/Jun/Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY |
| Section A6: Funding | |
| Funding Classes | <input type="checkbox"/> Shipper XX% <input type="checkbox"/> National Grid Transmission XX% <input type="checkbox"/> Distribution Network Operator XX% <input type="checkbox"/> IGT XX% <input type="checkbox"/> Other XX% |
| Service Line(s) | |
| ROM or funding details | |
| Funding Comments | |
| Section A7: ChMC Recommendation | |
| Change Status | <input type="checkbox"/> Approve – Issue to DSG <input type="checkbox"/> Defer – Issue for review <input type="checkbox"/> Reject |
| Industry Consultation | <input type="checkbox"/> 10 Working Days <input type="checkbox"/> 20 Working Days <input type="checkbox"/> 30 Working days Other: |
| Expected date of receipt for responses (to Xoserve) | XX/XX/XXXX |
| DSC Consultation | |
| Issued | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Date Issued | |
| Comms Ref(s) | |
| Number of Responses | |
| Section A8: DSC Voting Outcome | |
| Solution Voting | <input type="checkbox"/> Shipper Approve / Reject / NA / Abstain <input type="checkbox"/> National Grid Transmission Approve / Reject / NA / Abstain <input type="checkbox"/> Distribution Network Operator Approve / Reject / NA / Abstain <input type="checkbox"/> IGT Approve / Reject / NA / Abstain |
| Meeting Date | XX/XX/XXXX |
| Release Date | Release X: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY or NA |
| Overall Outcome | Approved for Release X / Rejected |

Please send the completed forms to: box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com

Document Version History

| Version | Status | Date | Author(s) | Summary of Changes |
|---------|--------------|----------|-----------|--|
| 0.1 | For Approval | 07/01/19 | Xoserve | CP Raised |
| 0.2 | Implemented | 05/07/19 | Xoserve | Status of Change amended to implemented. |

Template Version History

| Version | Status | Date | Author(s) | Summary of Changes |
|---------|----------|----------|------------------|--|
| 3.0 | Approved | 17/07/18 | Emma Smith | Template approved at ChMC on 11 th July |
| 4.0 | Approved | 07/09/18 | Emma Smith | Minor wording amendments and additional customer group impact within Appendix 1. |
| 5.0 | Approved | 10/12/18 | Heather Spensley | Now published on the new Xoserve branding template. |