Section D: High Level Solution Options

# D1: Solution Options

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Solution Option Summary: | [**Link to CP**](https://www.xoserve.com/change/change-proposals/xrn-4645-the-rejection-of-incrementing-reads-submitted-for-an-isolated-supply-meter-point-rgma-flows/)  XRN4645 was raised to look at preventing issues in energy calculation processes caused by consumption being allocated against isolated meter points.  This change has been discussed with DSG, and a single option approach agreed on how to prevent the scenario from occurring.  Option 1:   * New RGMA Rejection Code to be provided for the rejection of incrementing reads where the Isolation Flag is set in the UK Link system   Please note, this solution HLSO only considers the functional change required to the UK Link systems i.e. addition of the rejection code.  As part of the solution it is further proposed that reporting is made available to Shipper Users to provide additional information to them to identify the Reading at Isolation (or the Latest Reading, if a subsequent Reading has been loaded) in order for them to resubmit the RGMA transaction AND for them to determine whether a Consumption Adjustment should be raised. Detailed option assessment will be conducted once this functional change is scheduled so that this can be assessed against proposed capability in DDP drops.  No further solution options were considered necessary by DSG.  [**Link to HLSO**](https://www.xoserve.com/media/7927/xrn4645-high-level-solution-option-assessment-v02.pptx) |
| Xoserve preferred option:  (including rationale) | Solution Option 1 |
| DSG preferred solution option:  (including rationale) | Solution Option 1 |
| Consultation closeout: | 27/01/2020 |

Section E: Industry Response Solution Options Review

# E1: Organisation’s preferred solution option

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| User Contact Details: | Organisation: | EDF |
| Name: | Eleanor Laurence |
| Email: | eleanor.laurence@edfenergy.com |
| Telephone: | 07875117771 |
| Organisation’s preferred solution option, including rationale taking into account costs, risks, resource etc. | Whilst we support the proposal - we note in the change documentation that an action was taken from DSG to provide shippers with their portfolio relating to this issue:   ' DA stated that Xoserve would provide the Shippers with that information by providing the meter points in their portfolios where there is an exception. '  I cannot recall having seen this information and this would allow us to be able to identify any scenarios which may have been avoidable and thereby reduce the number of these instances going forward. Please can you provide this information | |
| Implementation Date: | Approve | |
| Xoserve preferred solution option: | Approve | |
| DSG preferred solution option: | Approve | |
| Publication of consultation response: | N/A | |

# E2: Xoserve’ s Response

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Xoserve Response to Organisations Comments: | Thank you for your response. We will follow up on the action described and provide the reporting to impacted shippers. |

# E1: Organisation’s preferred solution option

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| User Contact Details: | Organisation: | Npower Ltd |
| Name: | Alison Price |
| Email: | alison.price@npower.com |
| Telephone: | 07557202065 |
| Organisation’s preferred solution option, including rationale taking into account costs, risks, resource etc. | We are in support of the 1 solution put forward | |
| Implementation Date: | Approve | |
| Xoserve preferred solution option: | Approve | |
| DSG preferred solution option: | Approve | |
| Publication of consultation response: | N/A | |

# E2: Xoserve’ s Response

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Xoserve Response to Organisations Comments: | Thank you for your representation, we will feed this into ChMC for a final decision. |