

# **DSC Change Proposal Document**

Customers to fill out all of the information in the sections coloured 
Xoserve to fill out all of the information in the sections coloured

### **A1: General Details**

Change Reference:	XRN4980				
Change Title:	Change Supply Point Enquiry API to add in extra field and make certain other fields visible.				
Date Raised:	03/07/2019				
	Organisation:	Total	Total Gas & Power		
Sponsor	Name:	Louis	Louise Hellyer		
Representative Details:	Email:	louise	louise.hellyer@totalgp.com		
	Telephone:	01737 275638			
	Name:	Jane Goodes			
Xoserve	Email:	Jane.Goodes@xoserve.com			
Representative Details:	Telephone:	0121 229 2281			
	Business Owner:	Mark Pollard			
	☐ Proposal	☐ With DSG ☐ Out		☐ Out for Review	
Change Status:	☐ Voting		☐ Approved	☐ Rejected	
	⊠ Implemented				

### **A2: Impacted Parties**

	⊠ Shipper	☐ Distribution Network Operator	
Customer Class(es):	☐ NG Transmission	□ IGT	
	□ All	☑ Other XOSERVE	
Justification for Customer Class(es) selection	have to be shared with all so make small changes to inco an extra field and a few of the regardless of whether the many of the same of the	sitate a new version of the API, which will ubscribers. Subscribers will than need to rporate the new version which will include ne existing fields will always be populated eter is supplied by the requestor. impacted party as I presume that they ange (including the technical spec	



## A3: Proposer Requirements / Final (redlined) Change

Problem Statement:	The EUC (field 56) is not present of output unless the meter is in the sure EUC is available on the traditional (S59) regardless of whether the meter is not at all Enquiry API output whereas it is an Point Enquiry response (S59).  The CSEP maximum annual offtak Supply Point Enquiry API output unthe requestor.  The CSEP daily offtake (field 67) is Enquiry API output unless the meter.	apply of the requestor whereas the IX Supply Point Enquiry response eter is supplied by the requestor.  Available on the Supply Point vailable on the traditional IX Supply  e (field 64) is not present on the alless the meter is in the supply of a not present on the Supply Point	
Change Description:	We would like a change Supply Point Enquiry API output to a. make the EUC (field 56), CSEP maximum annual offtake (field 64) and CSEP daily offtake (field 67) visible even when the supply point is not in the requestors portfolio b. add a new field to show the Exit Zone Identifier c. make Gas Act Owner (field 75) visible to all (regardless of whether requester is meter supplier).		
Proposed Release:	Release: Adhoc July/August 2019		
Proposed		☐ 15 Working Days	
Consultation Period:	☐ 20 Working Days	☐ Other [Specify Here]	

### **A4: Benefits and Justification**

Benefit Description:	According to the website ( <a href="https://www.xoserve.com/services/gas-api-services/">https://www.xoserve.com/services/gas-api-services/</a> ) one of the purposes of the API service is help improve change of supply processes.  "The Supply Point Enquiry API service is available to customers requiring access to technical data. It is for organisations working to improve change of supply and data assurance processes".  All four of the requested data items are key data items in that change of supply process: especially the calculation of accurate charges for any customer pricing process.
	What, if any, are the tangible benefits of introducing this change? What, if any, are the intangible benefits of introducing this change?
Benefit Realisation:	Immediately
	When are the benefits of the change likely to be realised?



Benefit	None
Dependencies:	Please detail any dependencies that would be outside the scope of the change, this could be reliance on another delivery, reliance on some other event that the projects has not got direct control of.

## A5: Final Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations – Removed

(see Section C for DSG recommendations)

### **A6: Service Lines and Funding**

Service Line(s) Impacted - New or existing	DSC Service Area 1: Manage Supply Point Registration – This may require a new service line			
Level of Impact	Major/ Minor/ Unclear/ None			
If None please give justification				
Impacts on UK Link Manual/ Data Permissions Matrix	None			
Level of Impact	Major/ Minor/ Unclear/ <b>None</b>			
If None please give justification	No system change to UK Link; No amendments required to DPM			
	Customer Classes/ Funding	Delivery of Change	On-going Budget Amendment	
	⊠ Shipper	100 %	100 %	
Funding Classes	ses    National Grid Transmission    XX %		XX %	
:	Distribution Network Operator XX % XX % XX % XX % XX %			
	☐ Other <please specify=""></please>	XX %	XX %	
ROM or funding details:			•	
Funding Comments:	No further comment			

## A7: ChMC Recommendation – 7<sup>th</sup> August 2019

Change Status:		□ Reject		□ Defer
Industry Consultation:	☐ 10 Working Days ☐ 15 Working D		king Days	
	☐ 20 Working Days		☐ Other [Specify Here]	
Expected date of receipt for responses (to Xoserve)	XX/XX/XXXX			



DSC Consultation Issue:	□ Yes	□ No
Date Issued:	Click here to enter a date.	
Comms Ref(s):		
Number of		
Responses:		

### **A8: DSC Voting Outcome**

	☐ Shipper		Please select.	
Solution Voting:	☐ National Grid Transmission		Please select.	
	☐ Distribution Network Operator		Please select.	
	□ IGT		Please select.	
Meeting Date:	Click here to enter a date.			
Release Date:	Release: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY or NA			D/MM/YYYY or NA
Overall Outcome:	□ No □ Yes If [Yes] please specify <release></release>			specify <release></release>

Please send the completed forms to:  $\underline{box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com}$ 

## **Section C: DSG Discussion**

## C1: Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations

DSG Date:	05/08/2019			
DSG Summary:	Jane Goodes (JG) presented this agenda item for score ratification. JG stated that this Change was raised by Total Gas and Power. They are asking for some changes to the Supply Point Enquiry API. In particular the EUC field to be made available to anyone that is looking at it, whether they are the meter supplier or not. JG stated that after doing some initial analysis, the fields that are being asked for are predominantly all in the API. Therefore the change involves changing the categorisation of the data more so than adding it. There is one field that is currently not in the API that needs adding from another API. This will move it from portfolio to communal. This will benefit and aid the switching process for any new suppliers to get access to that information. JG stated that bringing this to DSG, gives other Shipper/Suppliers the opportunity to provide suggestion for any other data items that might be valuable to add to this. PO outlined key points of the appendix and stated that the Prioritisation score for this Change is 28%.			
Capture Document / Requirements:	<insert appropriate="" where=""></insert>			
DSG Recommendation:	□ Approve □ Reject □ Defer			
DSG Recommended Release:	Release: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY			



## C2: Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations

	5-oroup (500) Necommendations
DSG Date:	19/08/2019
	Simon Harris (SH) outlined the Change Proposal and spoke around the appendix and prioritisation scoring. DSC Service Area 1 is impacted, 100% Shipper funded. SH stated that XRN4980 has been raised by Total Gas and power to expose additional data to the Supply Point Enquiry API (S.P.E) to assist with the switching process. Furthermore SH stated that there is an additional requirement to include fields which are currently portfolio to be moved into community view. SH added that the CDSP has received additional requests from other parties to add/emend other API's that the CDSP feels can be incorporated into the XRN4980's scope, meeting the requirements for those API's as a collective. When going through the appendix of the Change Proposal SH found that customer switching on the appendix needs updating.  Action: Update the Change Proposal's appendix by adding the
	Customer Switching option element into the CP
	There is a total prioritisation score of 28%. PO asked if there was any issue from anyone regarding the prioritisation score. No response was provided.
	Action; Clarify and outline which service area relates to this Change, currently it is service area 1 but clarity is needed as to whether Service Area 22 is better suited.
DSG Summary:	SH outlined that the initial requirements for XRN4980 involve:  • Supply Point Enquiry API existing Data Items into Community View  - End User Category (EUC) Description  - CSEP Maximum Annual Offtake Quantity (AQ)  - CSEP Daily Offtake Quantity (SOQ)  - Gas Act Owner  • New field to be added to the Supply Point Enquiry API  - End User Category (EUC) Identifier
	SH then provided a list of proposed additional requirements:
	To add the Supply Point Quantities API data items (not already present) into the Supply Point Enquiry API?  Exit Zone  SMP Perspective Formula Year AQ  SMP Perspective Formula Year SOQ  SMP Perspective Formula Year Effective Date  With a view to decommission the Supply Point Enquiry API SH asked DSG that after presenting the list of initial and proposed requirements, if anyone in DSG opposes the recommendations? There was no objection from DSG. Furthermore SH explained that if there are any additional requirements to add into the scope of XRN4980, to please inform SH or get in contact with us.
	Action: For DSG to take away the information presented at this DSG meeting and assess if there are any other additional data items that would be of benefit to be added to the S.P.E API to aid in business processes and promote more reliable switching.



☐ Defer

Capture Document / Requirements:	<insert appropriate="" where=""></insert>			
DSG Recommendation:	□ Approve □ Reject □ Defer			
DSG Recommended Release:	Release: Feb / Jun / N	ov XX or Adhoc DD/MM	/YYYY	
DSG Date:	02/09/2019			
DSG Summary:	Jane Goodes (JG) asked if there are any other fields or items that customers wanted to see or added into the API which will be made viewable from portfolio view to community view. PO added that there has been no direct feedback received.			
Capture Document / Requirements:	<insert appropriate="" where=""></insert>			
DSG Recommendation:	□ Approve □ Reject □ Defer			
DSG Recommended Release:	Release: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY			
DSG Date:	07/10/2019			
DSG Summary:	Megan Troth (MT) provided an update for this agenda item and outlined it was for information only. MT explained that this change will be going to ChMC for approval of funds and into delivery.			

☐ Reject

Release: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY

<nsert where appropriate>

 $\square$  Approve

Capture Document / Requirements:

Recommendation: DSG Recommended

Release:





# **Version Control**

### **Document**

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Remarks
1	With DSG	12/08/2019	Xoserve	Updated with outcome from ChMC on 7 <sup>th</sup> August
2	With DSG	15/08/2019	Xoserve	CP updated with discussions from DSG 5 <sup>th</sup> August 2019
3	With DSG	30/08/2019	Xoserve	CP updated with discussions from DSG 19 <sup>th</sup> August 2019
4	With DSG	19/08/2019	Xoserve	CP updated with discussions from DSG 2 <sup>nd</sup> September 2019
5	With DSG	18/10/2019	Xoserve	CP updated with discussions from DSG 10 <sup>th</sup> October 2019
6	Implemented	16/01/2020	Xoserve	CP status updated to Implemented

## **Template**

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Remarks
3.0	Superseded	17/07/2018	Emma Smith	Template approved at ChMC on 11th July 2018
4.0	Superseded	07/09/2018	Emma Smith	Minor wording amendments and additional customer group impact within Appendix 1
5.0	Superseded	10/12/2018	Heather Spensley	Template moved to new Word template as part of Corporate Identity changes.
6.0	Approved	12/12/2018	Simon Harris	Cosmetic changes made. Approved at ChMC on the 12 <sup>th</sup> December 2018.
6.1	In Draft	26/03/2019	Richard Johnson/ Alison Cross	The following minor changes were made:  - Inclusion of an All 'Impacted Parties' option in A2 - Justification section added to section A2 - Change Description replaced with Problem Statement in section A3 - Remove 'X' in Release information (sections A3, A5, A7, C1 and G8) - Updated Service Line and UK Link impacts and funding section (A6) to include further detail - Amended questions 3 and 4 in section B



				<ul> <li>Added Service Line/UK link</li> <li>Assessment in section D</li> <li>Removed Section A5</li> </ul>
6.2	For approval	14/05/2019	Alison Cross	Following review at DSC Governance review group re- added Change Description text box
7.0	Approved	13/06/2019	Richard Johnson	DSC Governance Review Group changes to the template approved at Change Management Committee on 12th June 2019

# **Appendix 1**

#### **Change Prioritisation Variables 41%**

Xoserve uses the following variables set for each and every change within the Xoserve Change Register, to derive the indicative benefit prioritisation score, which will be used in conjunction with the perceived delivery effort to aid conversations at the DSC ChMC and DSC Delivery Sub Groups to prioritise changes into all future minor and major releases.

Change Driver Type	☐ CMA Order ☐ MOD / Ofgem		
	☐ EU Legislation ☐ License Condition		
	☐ BEIS ☐ ChMC endorsed Change Proposal		
	☐ SPAA Change Proposal ☐ Additional or 3 <sup>rd</sup> Party Service Request		
	☐ Other(please provide details below)		
Please select the customer	⊠Shipper Impact □ IGT Impact □ Network Impact		
group(s) who would be impacted	□Xoserve Impact □National Grid Transmission Impact		
if the change is not delivered			
Associated Change reference			
Number(s)			
Associated MOD Number(s)			
Perceived delivery effort	□ 0 – 30		
	□ 60 – 100 □ 100+ days		
Does the project involve the	☐ Yes (If yes please answer the next question)		
processing of personal data?	⊠ No		
'Any information relating to an identifiable			
person who can be directly or indirectly identified in particular by reference to an			
identifier' – includes MPRNS.			
A Data Protection Impact	□ New technology □ Vulnerable customer data □ Theft of Gas		
Assessment (DPIA) will be	☐ Mass data ☐ Xoserve employee data		
required if the delivery of the	☐ Fundamental changes to Xoserve business		
change involves the processing of	☐ Other (please provide details below)		
personal data in any of the	U Other (please provide details below)		
following scenarios:	(If any of the above boxes have been selected then please contact The Data Protection		
	Officer (Sally Hall) to complete the DPIA.		



Change Beneficiary	☐ Multiple Market Participants ☐ Multiple Market Group			
How many market participant or segments stand to benefit from the introduction of the	☐ All industry UK Gas Market participants ☐ Xoserve Only			
change?	☐ One Market Group ☐ One Market Participant			
Primary Impacted DSC Service	Service Area 22: Specific Services			
Area Number of Service Areas				
Impacted	☐ All ☐ Five to Twenty ☐ Two to Five			
•	⊠ One			
Change Improvement Scale?  How much work would be reduced for the	☐ High ☐ Medium ☒ Low			
customer if the change is implemented?				
	e following at risk if the change is not delivered?			
	□ Customer(s) incurring financial loss □ Customer Switching at risk e following required if the change is delivered?			
	e following required if the change is delivered?  ed   Customer Training Required   Customer Training Required			
	nown Impact to Systems / Processes			
Primary Application impacted				
у г., ррисаном пирасова	□BW □ ISU □ CMS			
	□ AMT □ EFT □ IX			
	☐ Gemini ☐ Birst ☐ Other (please provide details below)			
	API			
Business Process Impact	□AQ □SPA □RGMA			
	□Reads □Portal □Invoicing			
	☐ Other (please provide details below)			
Are there any known impacts to				
external services and/or systems	, ,			
as a result of delivery of this				
change?	□ No			
Please select customer group(s) who would be impacted if the				
change is not delivered.	☐ Xoserve impact ☐ National Grid Transmission Impact			
	Vorkaround currently in operation?			
Is there a Workaround in	☐ Yes			
operation?	⊠ No			
If yes who is accountable for the	☐ Xoserve			
workaround?	☐ External Customer			
W	☐ Both Xoserve and External Customer			
What is the Frequency of the workaround?				
What is the lifespan for the workaround?				
What is the number of resource				
effort hours required to service				
workaround? What is the Complexity of the	OW (copy repositive quick took you little rick of human array)			
workaround?	□ Low (easy, repetitive, quick task, very little risk of human error) □ Modium (moderate difficult requires some form of offline coloulation, possible risk of			
	☐ <b>Medium</b> (moderate difficult, requires some form of offline calculation, possible risk of human error in determining outcome)			
	☐ High (complicate task, time consuming, requires specialist resources, high risk of			
Change Prioritisation Score	human error in determining outcome)			

**Document Control** 

**Version History** 



Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Summary of Changes
1	Draft	27/04/18	Anesu Chivenga	