

# **DSC Change Proposal Document**

Customers to fill out all of the information in the sections colourec. Xoserve to fill out all of the information in the sections colourec

### A1: General Details

Change Reference:	XRN 5614			
Change Title:	Improving IGT SMP New Connection Process to support accurate and timely Supplier Registrations			
Date Raised:	26/01/2023			
	Organisation:	Brookfield Utilities UK		
Sponsor	Name:	Kundai Matiringe		
Representative Details:	Email:	Kundai.matiringe@bu-uk.co.uk		
	Telephone:	n/a		
	Name: Paul Orsler		Drsler	
Xoserve	Email:	Paul.orsler@xoserve.com		
Representative Details:	Telephone:	n/a		
	Business Owner:			
Change Status	🗆 Proposal		⊠ With DSG	□ Out for Review
Change Status:	□ Voting			□ Rejected

#### **A2: Impacted Parties**

Customer Class(es):	⊠ Shipper	Distribution Network Operator
	□ NG Transmission	⊠ IGT
	🗆 All	🛛 Other - Supplier
Justification for Customer Class(es) selection	IGTs, Shippers and Supplier organisation are the primary parties that undertake IGT Supply Meter Point Creation and Registration activities, in accordance with the existing regulatory arrangements and obligations.	



## A3: Proposer Requirements / Final (redlined) Change

	Creation and registration of IGT Supply Meter Points is a complex, coordinated activity that takes place between IGTs, Shippers, CDSP, Suppliers and the Retail Energy Code Central Switching Service Provider.			
	Shipper organisations and their related Supplier partners use the data that is supplied via the IGT Supply Meter Point Creation process to undertake registration activities in accordance with their Retail Energy Code obligations.			
	IGTs similarly have a critical role in providing the necessary datasets that Shipper and Supplier organisations rely upon to create End Consumer accounts within their related IT systems, which in turn are understood to trigger the associated registration activities.			
	The data supplied in this process flows through IGTs from the respective Property Developer, who reaches an agreement with a Shipper to take responsibility for the associated offtake at the appointment premises before notifying the IGT of any changes to their previously nominated Shipper.			
Problem Statement:	Where this data becomes inaccurate or outdated it can lead to delay in ensuring accurate registration details are recorded against IGT Supply Meter Points.			
	In circumstances where a Supplier has enacted a Switch Request with the REC Central Switching Service Provider, the CDSP receives this information and no longer recognises the site as being at a 'pre-registered' state.			
	Consequently, the CDSP is unable to facilitate the notification to Shippers where IGTs are informed by Developers that Shipper and Supplier details have been amended.			
	This can result in lengthy delays in resolving queries relating to Shipper and Supplier registration, and may negatively impact all parties that have involvement in the process.			
	Additionally, IGTs note that any discrepancies relating to registered Shipper and Suppliers at new connection premises can lead to challenges in ensuring metering data and related organisation data (MAM / MAP) that is understood and recognised			



	between multiple industry parties being reflected in central industry systems. Any delays in resolving these discrepancies can present a challenge to billing of asset and IGT transportation charges, in addition to accuracy of settlement and switching processes.		
Change Description:	In circumstances where Shipper and / or Supplier details agreed with a Developer differ to those recorded within central industry systems, IGTs require a mechanism to ensure this information can be passed to the relevant Shipper organisations, allowing appropriate action to be taken. The provision of this information, tracking of relevant updates to central industry records and monitoring of performance can be used to assess realisation of intended benefits of this change and should be considered as an enduring requirement.		
Proposed Release:	To be confirmed		
Proposed	□ 10 Working Days	□ 15 Working Days	
Consultation Period:	□ 20 Working Days	Other [write specific here]	

## A4: Benefits and Justification

Benefit Description:	The objective is to see less discrepancies between known Shipper / Supplier details held by Developers and IGTs vs those details held within central industry systems – improved accuracy of industry data		
	What, if any, are the tangible benefits of introducing this change? What, if any, are the intangible benefits of introducing this change?		
	Expected to be immediate following implementation – this should		
Benefit Realisation:	be measured following deliver to track improvements to the		
Denent Neausation.	performance of parties that operate the process		
	When are the benefits of the change likely to be realised?		
	None Identified		
Benefit	nefit		
Dependencies:	Please detail any dependencies that would be outside the scope of the change,		
	this could be reliance on another delivery, reliance on some other event that the projects has not got direct control of.		



### A5: Final Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations – Removed

(see Section C for DSG recommendations)

## A6: Service Lines and Funding

Service Line(s)	Service Area 3 – Manage Updates to Customer Portfolio Likely impacted Service Line - DS-CS-SA3-01			
Impacted - New or existing	At this stage it is unclear whether this Service Line requires changing – to be confirmed during the change process.			
Level of Impact	Unclear			
If None please give justification				
Impacts on UK Link Manual/ Data Permissions Matrix	Any proposed changes to existing UK Link Interfaces will be assessed and defined as part of the change process			
Level of Impact	Unclear			
If None please give justification				
	Customer Classes/ Funding	Delivery of Change	On-going Budget Amendment	
	🛛 Shipper	25 %	XX %	
Funding Classes	□ National Grid Transmission	XX %	XX %	
:	<ul> <li>Distribution Network</li> <li>Operator</li> </ul>	XX %	XX %	
	⊠ IGT	75 %	XX %	
	□ Other <please specify=""></please>	XX %	XX %	
ROM or funding details:	Proposed funding split agreed at ChMC 13/12/2024			
Funding Comments:	Following discussion with the change proposer it is recommended that a 50/50 Shipper/IGT change funding split be adopted – this is on the basis that both Shippers and IGTs equally benefitting from the making the recommended process improvements and are collectively responsible for ensuring that accurate registration data exists against IGT Supply Meter Points			

Please send the completed forms to: <a href="https://www.uklink@xoserve.com">wklink@xoserve.com</a>



## **Version Control**

#### Document

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Remarks
0.1	Draft	19.12.22	P.Orsler	Initial Draft shared with IGTs for review ahead of ChMC submission
1.0	Approved	09.02.23	Kate Lancaster	Approved at February ChMC